YANNY, a Professional Law \*

Defendants

Corporation,

## 1 SUPERIOR COURT FOR THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA 2 COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES 3 RELIGIOUS TECHNOLOGY CENTER, a California Non-4 Profit Religious Corporation, CHURCH OF RECEIVED 5 SCIENTOLOGY INTERNATIONAL, \* a California Non-Profit MAY 0 8 1992 6 Religious Corporation, CHURCH OF SCIENTOLOGY OF **HUB LAW OFFICES** 7 CALIFORNIA, a California Non-Profit Religious 8 Corporation, 9 NO. BC 033035 Plaintiffs 10 VS. JOSEPH A. YANNY, an 11 Individual, and JOSEPH A.

## ORAL DEPOSITION OF VICKI AZNARAN

On the 14th day of April, 1992, at 10:00 a.m., the oral deposition of the above-named witness was taken at the instance of the Plaintiffs before Tierney Burgett, Certified Shorthand Reporter in and for the State of Texas, at the offices of Stanley, Harris, Rice & Associates, 3100 McKinnon, Suite 1000, in the City of Dallas, County of Dallas, State of Texas, pursuant to subpoena and the agreement stated on the record herein.

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

|    | VICKI AZNARAN 4-14-92        | 3                 |
|----|------------------------------|-------------------|
| 1  | INDEX                        |                   |
| 2  | WITNESS                      | PAGE              |
| 3  | VICKI AZNARAN                |                   |
| 4  | EXAMINATION BY MR. DRESCHER  | 4                 |
| 5  |                              |                   |
| 6  | DEPOSITION EXHIBITS          | <u>IDENTIFIED</u> |
| 7  | 1 - Substitution of attorney | 107               |
| 8  | 2 - Declaration of witness   | 119               |
| 9  | 3 - Declaration of witness   | 129               |
| .0 | 4 - Substitution of attorney | 134               |
| 1  |                              |                   |
| 2  |                              |                   |
| .3 |                              |                   |
| 4  |                              |                   |
| .5 |                              |                   |
| 6  |                              |                   |
| 7  |                              |                   |
| .8 |                              |                   |
| 9  |                              |                   |
| 0  |                              |                   |
| 1  |                              |                   |
| 2  |                              |                   |
| 3  |                              |                   |
| 4  |                              |                   |
| 5  |                              | i i               |

## VICKI AZNARAN,

the witness hereinbefore named, being first duly
cautioned and sworn to testify the truth, the whole
truth and nothing but the truth, testified under
oath as follows:

MR. DRESCHER: What I'd anticipate is, at the conclusion of the deposition that the original could be sent to Ms. McRae.

MS. McRAE: That's fine.

MR. DRESCHER: And that Ms. Aznaran can execute it, not with a notary, but under the provisions of the California law, that it can be signed under declaration of the penalty of perjury, to save the notary expense.

We're a little over a month from
the trial date, so I'd ask that it be a two-week
turnaround, that Ms. Aznaran have two weeks from
the time that you receive it in which to review the
deposition transcript, make any changes she deems
necessary, execute it under penalty of perjury, and
then have the original returned to the offices of
Bowles & Moxon.

MS. McRAE: Not to the court reporter?

MR. DRESCHER: No. We will relieve

| 1   | the court reporter of any duty that she may have.   |
|-----|---|
| 2   | Under California law that's permissible, and it     |
| 3   | simply can be executed by Ms. Aznaran, and then you |
| 4   | or she can mail it to Bowles & Moxon. Would that    |
| 5   | be okay?  |
| 6   | MS. McRAE: That's fine.                             |
| 7   | MR. DRESCHER: Any other thing you                   |
| 8   | want to add to that?                                |
| 9   | MR. BERRY: In the absence of an                     |
| 10  | executed copy, an unsigned copy may be used for the |
| 11  | purposes of any motions, hearings                   |
| 12  | MR. DRESCHER: That would be in the                  |
| 13  | event that either Ms. Aznaran doesn't sign the      |
| 14  | original or it's not returned to Bowles & Moxon.    |
| 15  | MR. BERRY: Or a proceeding takes                    |
| 16  | place before that occurs.                           |
| 17  | MR. DRESCHER: That's correct.                       |
| 18  | Is that okay with you, Ms. McRae?                   |
| 19  | MS. McRAE: That's fine.                             |
| 20  | MR. DRESCHER: Anything further that                 |
| 21  | we want do to in that regard?                       |
| 22  | MR. BERRY: No.                                      |
| 23  | MR. DRESCHER: Not as far as I'm                     |
| 24  | concerned either.                                   |
| 2 5 | FYAMTNATION   |

## BY MR. DRESCHER:

- Q. Ms. Aznaran, is there any reason you can think of why we shouldn't just begin and conclude this today?
- A. A whole lot, but none that are relevant to this, I guess.
- Q. You're a plaintiff in a case styled Vicki
  Aznaran and Richard Aznaran versus Church of
  Scientology of California, et al, are you not?
  - A. Right.
- Q. And that case is pending in the United States District Court for the Central District of California?
  - A. Judge Ideman's court.
  - Q. Okay. Thank you.

For the sake of simplicity I'll call it the Aznaran case, if that's okay with you.

- A. Fine.
- Q. By the way, you have been deposed before. I know that, and so I'm not going to go through those preliminaries. You have in mind what you're doing here today and why we've come here?
- A. I know how a deposition is done, if that's what you're asking.
  - Q. That's what I'm asking. All we ask is,

- that you wait for me to finish my question before beginning your answer, and I will try to show you the same courtesy. Okay?
  - A. Yes.

2

3

5

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Q. And that your answers be audible, as they have been.

It is correct that the Aznaran case was filed on or about April 1st, 1988, isn't it?

- A. Yeah, I believe that's right.
- Q. During the course of that -- the pendency of the Aznaran case, you were for a time represented by Barry Van Sickle, were you not?
  - A. That's correct.
- Q. For a time after -- well, Mr. Van Sickle was disqualified at some point; is that correct?
  - A. Yes.
- Q. And for a period of time after his disqualification you and your husband represented yourself in pro per; isn't that right?
  - A. Yes.
- Q. After that period did you hire, as counsel of record for that case, Mr. Ford Greene?
  - A. Yes.
- Q. Do you recall approximately when that was?

2

3

4

5

7

8

9

10

11

- A. No.
- Q. Would it seem consistent with what recollection you may have, that it was early in 1989 that Mr. Greene became your counsel of record?
  - A. Could have been. I don't know.
  - Q. So whatever the court records say?
  - A. Yeah. I'm sure they tell the story.
- Q. Okay. And then at some point in 1991 you and your husband again substituted yourselves in as counsel of record in the Aznaran case in place of Mr. Greene, didn't you?
- 12 A. Yes.
- Q. Do you recall about when that was?
- 14 A. Mid-'91.
- 15 Q. Right.
- 16 A. Sometime around there.
- 17 Q. Around June?
- 18 A. Probably.
- Q. And do you recall that after that, that
  you substituted into that case, as your counsel of
  record, Joseph A. Yanny?
  - A. Yes.
- Q. Is it correct that at some point after
  Mr. Yanny's substitution into the case, his
  appearance was rescinded by an order of Judge

| - | - 1       | - |
|---|-----------|---|
|   | Ideman    |   |
| - | T a c mai |   |

- A. I believe that's right. I'm not certain what papers I saw and what I didn't and who said what.
- Q. Well, Mr. Yanny ceased to be counsel of record for you in that case shortly after he came on board, didn't he?
  - A. Right.
- Q. Do you recall that that court order that removed Mr. Yanny as your counsel of record, also reinstalled Ford Greene as your counsel of record?
- A. I don't know that the same order did it.

  I know Judge Ideman reinstated Ford Greene.
  - Q. After removing Mr. Yanny or along with; is that right?
    - A. I would assume, yeah.
  - Q. Is the sequence of your representation, to the point we've now covered, Barry Van Sickle, followed by a period of in pro per representation, followed by Mr. Greene, followed by in pro per representation, followed by Mr. Yanny and followed by Mr. Greene? Is that correct?
    - A. Yes.
  - Q. Then after that you associated a John Elstead as co-counsel with Mr. Greene, did you not?

1 A. Yes.

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

25

- Q. And in the period after that Mr. Elstead
  has become your only counsel of record; isn't that
  correct?
  - A. I think so.
    - Q. You're not certain?
  - A. I'm not sure about that.
  - Q. Not certain.

Is it fair to say, Ms. Aznaran, that for the bulk of the time that that case has been pending, your counsel of record was Ford Greene?

Bulk is a little vague. Let me try again.

- A. That would be really hard to say.
- Q. Is it your recollection that Mr. Greene has been your counsel of record in the Aznaran case for the majority of time in which it's been pending?
- A. No.
- Q. For about how long do you estimate Mr. Greene to have been your counsel of record in the Aznaran case?
- 22 A. I don't know.
- Q. You have nothing on which to base an estimation?
  - A. I would have to look at the papers and

1 | try to see.

- Q. Do you know whether or not a trial date in the Aznaran case is presently set?
  - A. I don't believe it is.
- Q. Are you aware whether any trial date has ever been set in the Aznaran case?
- A. I believe it has in the past, maybe once or twice. I don't know.
- Q. Do you recall what the most recent trial date set in that case was?
- A. No. I don't even recall for sure that there was one.
- Q. Do you have any recollection of a trial date being taken off the calendar by the court after stipulation entered into between the counsel for the defendants and Mr. Greene?
- A. No. I may have known it at the time, but I don't remember it now.
- Q. Do you recall having seen a stipulation signed by Mr. Greene agreeing to a continuation of the trial date in the Aznaran case?
  - A. No.
- Q. Do you have any knowledge of why the more recent -- the most recent trial date was taken off the calendar by the court?

- A. Well, I know that Scientology doesn't like Mr. Ideman and they're trying to get rid of him. That's all I know.
  - Q. How did you become aware of that?

    MS. McRAE: I'll object if she became aware of anything through talking to her lawyers.
    - Q. Did you become aware of the fact that the trial date had been vacated because of some action by the defendants, through anyone other than Mr.
- 11 | Greene?

6

7

8

9

10

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

20

21

22

23

- A. I don't recall.
- Q. Did Mr. Greene ever consult you about any continuation of the trial date in the Aznaran case? Yes or no.
  - A. Probably.
- Q. Do you have any recollection of him doing so?
- 19 A. Not specifically, no.
  - Q. Do you recall reviewing any drafts of any stipulation affecting the trial date of the Aznaran case prepared by Mr. Greene or submitted to you by Mr. Greene?
  - A. No.
- Q. Do you recall any conversation in which

1 Mr. Greene discussed the continuation of the trial 2 in the Aznaran case by stipulation? 3 MS. McRAE: That's just a yes or 4 no. 5 MR. DRESCHER: That's correct. 6 Could you give me that again? 7 Q. Sure. Do you recall ever having a 8 telephone conversation with Ford Greene in which he 9 discussed the possibility of stipulating to a continuation of the trial date in the Aznaran case? 10 11 Not specifically, no. I mean, he very 12 well could have. I just don't specifically 13 remember it. Do you recall any correspondence from Mr. 14 Greene concerning a stipulation to continue the 15 trial date in the Aznaran case? Yes or no. 16 17 Not specifically, no. A. Do you recall whether you ever agreed to 18 19 continue the trial date by stipulation in the 20 Aznaran case? MR. BERRY: At this point I'd enter 21 an objection to this whole line of questioning as 22 it pertains to this particular litigation. 23 24 MR. DRESCHER: It's your affirmative

defense that I am plumbing here. I know, I

- 1 | understand.
- Q. Do you have the question in mind, Ms.
- 3 | Aznaran?

10

- 4 A. No.
- Q. Did you at any time agree to a continuation of the Aznaran trial date by stipulation?
- 8 A. I don't recall.
  - Q. Ms. Aznaran, are you aware of when the discovery cutoff -- do you know what a discovery cutoff date is?
- 12 A. I believe I understand.
- Q. What does the term mean?
- A. After a point where you can't take any more discovery.
- 16 Q. In a lawsuit, right?
- 17 A. Right.
- Q. Do you recall -- or I should say, do you know when the discovery cutoff date is in the Aznaran case?
- 21 A. I believe it's already been.
- Q. You believe it's already passed?
- 23 A. I believe so.
- Q. Do you recall when?
- 25 A. No.

- Q. Did you ever have any communication -this is a yes or no question, by the way. Did you
  ever have any communication with Mr. Greene
  concerning any motion to extend the discovery
  cutoff date in the Aznaran case?
  - A. I don't recall.
- Q. Do you recall whether or not you authorized Mr. Greene ever to make a motion to extend the discovery cutoff date in the Aznaran case? Again, yes or no.

MS. McRAE: I'd object to the word authorize. I think pretty much you authorize your attorney to do what needs to be done. It may be specific authorizations that you're talking about.

MR. DRESCHER: All right. Let me see if I can't rephrase that, taking into account your objection.

- Q. You don't recall whether you ever consulted with Mr. Greene about the issue of extending the discovery cutoff date in the Aznaran case, do you?
  - A. I think that's what I said, yeah.
- Q. Okay. Do you recall ever seeing any motion filed by Mr. Greene on your behalf to extend the discovery cutoff date in the Aznaran case?

- A. I don't recall one.
- Q. Do you recall two?
- A. No. If I didn't recall one, I wouldn't recall two.
- Q. Do you have any knowledge as to whether the discovery cutoff date in the Aznaran case was twice extended due to motions filed on your behalf by Mr. Greene?
- A. That seems right, but it might not be. I don't really remember the details through the discovery cutoff and that type of thing.
- Q. Did Mr. Greene move for such -- either of such cutoff date extensions without authorization?

  Yes or no.
- A. He wouldn't have done things without my authorization, I don't believe, or Richard's authorization. He could well talk to Richard about it. I don't know.
- Q. But you don't have any current recollection of having discussed such a discovery cutoff date with Mr. Greene. Is that right?
  - A. Say that again.
- Q. You don't have any recollection of having discussed any such discovery cutoff extension with Mr. Greene. Is that correct?

| A. No, I don't recall it.                          |
|--|
| MR. BERRY: For the record, I'd                     |
| like a continuing objection to this entire series  |
| of questions.                                      |
| MS. McRAE: I've got to object too,                 |
| because I don't everything you're asking can be    |
| either found in the court file or it's going to be |
| privileged.  |
| MR. DRESCHER: I've been real                       |
| careful, Ms. McRae                                 |
| MS. McRAE: I know you have.                        |
| MR. DRESCHER: to ask no                            |
| questions about whether Ms. Aznaran was aware of o |
| had discussed certain things with her attorney. I  |
| haven't asked for the content, and I will endeavor |
| to continue to be careful in that regard.          |
| MS. McRAE: But we're not going to                  |
| sit and do discovery in her case either. Maybe I   |
| don't know enough about you-all's case, but I fail |
| to see what in the world anything in her case has  |
| to do with this case, except up to a point in the  |
| summer of '91.                                     |
| MR. DRESCHER: Just to help you out                 |
|  |

asserted by Mr. Yanny are various ones concerning

in that regard, among the affirmative defenses

24

- 1 the period to which you refer, and testimony of 2 other deponents in this case concerns actions taken 3 by Mr. Greene or not taken by Mr. Greene without 4 his client's authorization in this Aznaran case. 5 And I believe we will pass this soon enough, but I 6 just wanted to cover that with some sort of 7 particularity to avoid having to retrace a lot of 8 steps later on. 9 I'm going to tell you, MS. MCRAE: 10 if she can recall any discussions about her case 11 with Ford Greene, we're not going to testify to 12 them. We're not going to testify to Mr. Greene's 13 abilities or anything else, or what their 14 agreements were between them. 15 MR. DRESCHER: Well, I haven't 16 asked any questions like that. 17 MS. MCRAE: Okay. 18 I certainly don't recall MR. BERRY: 19 the testimony to which you're referring. 20 MR. DRESCHER: The testimony to
  - MR. DRESCHER: The testimony to which I'm referring was actually referred to by Mr. Parker, testified to by Mr. Van Sickle. He referred to -- Parker referred to it at my deposition, and Mr. Van Sickle testified about it. I was not -- excuse me, so did I, now that I think

22

23

24

- 1 | about it. I think it evolved rather fast.
  - Q. Ms. Aznaran, do you have any knowledge of how many interrogatories Mr. Greene propounded in the Aznaran case?
    - A. No.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

- Q. Do you have any knowledge of the total time period by which the discovery cutoff date was extended on account of motions made by Mr. Greene?
- A. I don't know. I mean, I may have at one time, but I don't remember now.
- Q. Do you know how many depositions Mr. Greene has conducted himself in the Aznaran case?
  - A. No.
    - Q. Do you know how many depositions have --
- A. No. I really don't know.
  - Q. Do you know how many depositions have been conducted on your behalf by notice, by your counsel in the Aznaran case?
  - A. No.
- Q. Are you aware of any motion filed by Mr. Greene in the Aznaran case, other than one seeking to extend the discovery cutoff?
- A. I don't know what all motions he's filed. I'd have to look it up.
- Q. Do you know that he's filed any other

- 1 | than motions to extend the discovery cutoff date?
- 2 A. I couldn't say specifically.
  - Q. Don't know one way or the other?
  - A. Right.

5

8

9

- O. Who is Charles F. Eick?
- A. He's a magistrate in Judge Ideman's court, I believe.
  - Q. Do you know what Local Rule 9 of the
    Central District of California, U.S. District Court
    is?
- 11 A. No, I don't.
- Q. Have you ever heard the Local Rule 9
  13 referred to?
- 14 A. No.
- Q. Do you know how many times, if any, Mr.

  Greene has physically appeared before Judge Ideman

  in the Aznaran case?
- 18 A. I have no idea.
- 19 Q. Nothing upon which to base an estimate?
- 20 A. No.
- Q. Can you estimate the number of oppositions to motions that Mr. Greene filed on your behalf in this Aznaran case?
- 24 A. I have no idea.
- Q. Is it more than 10, do you think?

| 1  | A. I have no idea. I know I have file             |  |
|----|---|--|
| 2  | cabinets worth of papers. "I have no idea who's   |  |
| 3  | filed what or how many.                           |  |
| 4  | Q. I'm sure we all do.                            |  |
| 5  | Do you know if Mr. Greene ever filed an           |  |
| 6  | opposition to a motion in the Aznaran case late?  |  |
| 7  | A. I couldn't say specifically that's what        |  |
| 8  | he filed late. He filed something late, but I     |  |
| 9  | don't know that's what it was.                    |  |
| 10 | Q. Do you have one thing in mind that he          |  |
| 11 | filed late?                                       |  |
| 12 | A. I specifically remember one thing was          |  |
| 13 | filed late.                                       |  |
| 14 | Q. But you don't have a recollection, as you      |  |
| 15 | sit here today, of what that was?                 |  |
| 16 | A. No.  |  |
| 17 | Q. Do you have a recollection of Mr. Greene       |  |
| 18 | filing as many things as 20 things late in the    |  |
| 19 | Aznaran case?                                     |  |
| 20 | A. I have no idea.                                |  |
| 21 | MR. BERRY: I'd again object. Mr.                  |  |
| 22 | Greene's conduct in the Aznaran case is a matter  |  |
| 23 | for subjective evaluation by this witness, not an |  |
|    |   |  |

MR. DRESCHER: I think that's

24

25

objective evaluation.

1 I think you're absolutely correct about correct. that. However, my question was meant to objectify 2 3 several things. 4 When's the last time, Ms. Aznaran, that Q. 5 you spoke to Margery Wakefield? 6 MS. McRAE: I object to that being 7 totally irrelevant, unless you can tell me what in the world that has to do with this lawsuit. 8 9 MR. DRESCHER: Okay. Well, let 10 me --11 MS. McRAE: I'm going to think that 12 you're just getting into discovery in the other 13 one. 14 MR. DRESCHER: Well, heaven's sake, 15 I can correct that misimpression. 16 Do you recall telling Barry Van Sickle, 17 Ms. Aznaran, that you or your husband had had a discussion with Margery Wakefield about Mr. 18 19 Greene's representation of her? 20 MS. McRAE: And I am going to object 21 to attorney-client privilege, if this discussion 22 was with Barry Van Sickle, especially if you can't give me a date and time, because obviously he was 23 representing her at one point and has a continuing 24

duty, and then again, stepped into -- he again

- began representing her, as far we're concerned, in
  the summer of '91.
  - MR. DRESCHER: All right. Let me rephrase it and try to take care of that and correct some of Ms. McRae's foundational concern.
  - Q Did you, Ms. Aznaran, in the period from January through June of 1991 -- and I am asking for a yes-no answer only -- ever have a discussion with Barry Van Sickle about Margery Wakefield?
    - A. I don't recall one.
  - Q. Do you have any recollection in the period of the year 1990 of having a discussion with Barry Van Sickle about Margery Wakefield?
  - A. Seems like I referred her to him, but I don't know if that was 1990. I have no idea.
  - Q. You believe you may have referred Ms. Wakefield to Mr. Van Sickle. Is that right?
    - A. Yes.
  - Q. Ms. Wakefield had consulted you concerning the possibility of finding counsel. Is that right?
    - A. Right.
  - Q. Are you aware that Ms. Wakefield was ever represented by Mr. Greene or not?
- 25 A. Yes, she was.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

- Q. In this instance that you've identified, do you recollect whether at the time you referred Ms. Wakefield to Mr. Van Sickle, Ms. Wakefield was being represented by Mr. Greene?
  - A. She didn't have an attorney at that time.
  - Q. Did Ms. Wakefield ever recount to you the circumstances of her termination of her legal representation by Mr. Greene? Yes or no.
    - A. Yes.
  - Q. Okay. And what did she tell you about that?
  - A. That they had disagreements of some sort. I don't really recall what they were.
    - Q. Did she tell you about --
    - A. About papers being filed or something.
  - Q. Is it your recollection that she gave you no detail about her experience with Mr. Greene?
  - A. I don't really know. She may have; I just don't remember it now.
  - Q. Did she mention anything about late-filed papers?
  - A. Seems like something about papers she didn't like or didn't like the papers or something.
- Q. Did she say anything about commitment papers?

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

- A. I don't recall anything about commitment papers.
  - Q. In the course of the -- was there only one such discussion that you had with Ms. Wakefield on this subject?
    - A. That's all I recall.
  - Q. In the course of that conversation -- by the way, was it by telephone?
    - A. Yes.
  - Q. In the course of that telephone conversation, have you got any better recollection of when it was?
    - A. It's been some time ago.
  - Q. Your best guess is sometime in 1990 or before; is that right?
- A. It was a long time ago.
- Q. It wasn't in that first six months of '91? I believe you testified to that.
- 19 A. I don't think so.
- Q. In the course of that telephone
  conversation with Ms. Wakefield, did she tell you
  anything about the personal disagreements she had
  had with Mr. Greene, if any?
- A. I don't recall any personal -- discussion

  about personal disagreements.

- Q. How long a phone call was it?
- 2 A. I don't really remember.
  - Q. Did she call you or did you call her?
  - A. I don't remember.
  - Q. Do you recall anything else of the conversation between you and Ms. Wakefield at that time?
    - A. No.

- Q. Do you recall relating the substance of that telephone call with Ms. Wakefield to Mr. Van Sickle?
- A. I may have, but I don't specifically recall it.
- Q. Did Ms. Wakefield ever recount to you any story or anecdote about an appearance by Mr. Greene in a federal court in Florida on her behalf?
- A. Well, I think that's where he represented her, in Florida, but I don't remember a specific incident about Florida, but I think he represented her in a case in Florida, if my memory is right.
- Q. In your own words, would you please describe for me, as best you can remember, what Ms. Wakefield told your specifically about her termination of Mr. Greene as her counsel?
  - A. She said she had disagreements with him,

- something about papers, seems like the way I
  remember it now. I don't know if he filed them
  wrong, she didn't like what he wrote, or whatever,
  and she was looking for another lawyer and did I
  know of any, and I told her she could call Barry.
- Q. Did you suggest anyone to her besides
  Barry?
  - A. I don't think so.
  - Q. By Barry, we mean Barry Van Sickle, right?
    - A. Yes.
  - Q. Now, other than Ms. Wakefield, did you have any telephone conversation or in-person communication with anyone else about Mr. Greene's representation of them?
  - A. I don't recall any.
- Q. Have you ever heard the name Gutfreund?
- 18 A. Yeah.
- Q. Who is Mr. and Mrs. Gutfreund, from your awareness?
- MR. BERRY: Can you spell it,
- 22 | Counsel?

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

- MR. DRESCHER: Sure. It's
- 24 G-U-T-F-R-E-U-N-D.
- A. He's a friend of Margery Wakefield's.

- 1 Q. Have you ever met him?
- 2 A. No.
- 3 Q. Do you know his first name?
- A. I think I did, but I don't recall it right now.
- Q. Me too.
- 7 Have you ever spoken with Mr. Gutfreund
- 8 | at all?
- 9 A. On the telephone.
- 10 Q. On how many occasions?
- 11 A. Once or twice.
- 12 Q. When was that?
- A. I don't remember. Probably around the same time that I talked to Margery.
- Q. Do you remember whether you called him or he called you?
- 17 A. I believe he called me.
- 18 Q. Both times?
- 19 A. I'm not sure. It was twice.
- Q. But you believe he called you. Is that
- 21 | correct?
- 22 A. Are you telling me that?
- Q. I'm asking you. I'm not sure that I
- 24 heard your answer.
- 25 A. Oh, I don't really know. I think he

1 | called me.

2

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

- Q. But you're not certain?
- 3 A. No.
  - Q. Okay. Do you remember whether, during the course of your telephone conversation with Mr. Gutfreund, the subject of Ford Greene's representation of him arose?
  - A. Yes. And I think that's why he called me, because he wanted to talk about -- Ford represented him and he felt like Ford was not treating him nicely.
  - Q. Did he tell you that he had sued Ford Greene?
  - A. No.
  - Q. Did he mention that he was contemplating suing Ford Greene as a result of Greene's representation of him?
    - A. I don't know. He may have.
    - Q. Did he, in the course of that telephone conversation, ask you if you might be able to refer him to some lawyer to represent him?
      - A. No, I don't think so.
      - Q. Did you make any such referral?
- 24 A. I don't recall making one.
- Q. Did he have a lawyer at the time he

- 1 | talked to you, other than Ford Greene?
- 2 A. Seems like he did.
  - Q. Do you know who it was?
  - A. No.

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

16

17

18

19

20

- Q. Have you ever been aware of the identity of a lawyer representing Mr. Gutfreund in a case against Ford Greene?
  - A. Yeah, just Elstead. I subsequently became aware that he did.
  - Q. But not at the time that you had this phone call with him?
- 12 A. No. But he had another lawyer on 13 something else, not John Elstead.
- Q. The other lawyer on something else didn't involve Ford Greene?
  - A. Yes. It was on his case, or something, or it was a co-counsel with Ford Greene.
  - Q. He had replaced Ford Greene or co-counseled someone?
  - A. Co-counsel, I believe.
- Q. Is it your recollection, at the time you had this telephone conversation with Mr. Gutfreund, that he had not yet sued Ford Greene?
  - A. I don't really remember.
- Q. You were aware, though, that he had

- brought action against Ford Greene, became aware at some point?
  - A. At some point, yes.
  - Q. I understand you've testified that you're not certain whether you had one or two telephone conversations with Mr. Gutfreund. Does having recounted what you've now recounted help you remember whether there was more than one?
    - A. Seems like one.

MR. BERRY: Bill, if you go into this area, I will take it to be an entitlement for us to do discovery in this same area as to who, if anyone, stood up for Greene's clients and whether those people were involved with the church, specifically with Gene Ingram and his investigators who were involved in all of this.

MR. DRESCHER: Whatever you want to reserve, Graham, is up to you. I'm entitled to inquire into areas that are relevant to the affirmative defenses.

- Q. Ms. Aznaran, did you have any other communication with any other clients of Mr. Greene's at or around the time that you spoke with Ms. Wakefield and Mr. Gutfreund?
  - A. I don't remember any.

- Q. You have -- I believe you testified -- correct me if I'm wrong -- that Mr. Gutfreund might have gotten your name from Ms. Wakefield. Is that correct?
  - A. Probably. He's a friend of hers.
- Q. Do you recall whether you ever told Mr. Van Sickle about your telephone conversation with Mr. Gutfreund?
- A. I may have. I don't recall it specifically, no.
- Q. Do you recall -- and I am not asking for a date now, but have in mind the time period in whic Mr. Van Sickle was disqualified as your counsel in the Aznaran case. Do you have that in mind?
  - A. Yeah.
- Q. Through that time through your period of pro per representation filed in that and -- in fact, let me start over. I'm getting a little convoluted here.

Do you have in mind the approximate period in which Mr. Greene first became your counsel of record in the Aznaran case?

- A. Okay. Yeah.
- Q. Does early 1989 ring any bells in that

1 regard? 2 A. Could have been. " 3 Whatever the records show, they show? Q. A. Right. 5 Q. From that time until June of 1991, could 6 you just give me a narrative of what steps you 7 believe Mr. Greene took to forward the prosecution 8 of the Aznaran case, and that is --9 MR. BERRY: Once again, relevance. 10 Excuse me, let me MR. DRESCHER: 11 finish, and then you can object away, both of you. I'm not asking for anything confidential. 12 13 I'm merely asking about actions of which you're 14 aware, that are part of the public record, that Mr. Greene undertook on your behalf. 15 MS. McRAE: Objection; 16 attorney-client privilege, work product. And I am 17 18 going to instruct her not to answer. MR. BERRY: And I add my relevancy 19 20

MR. BERRY: And I add my relevancy objection. All that's material was her state of mind as to Ford Greene, not what steps he actually did or did not take.

MR. DRESCHER: Well, I'm not going to validate your objection, but I will say that your characterization is exactly correct.

21

22

23

24

| 1   | Ms. McRae, I understand that you                    |
|-----|---|
| 2   | instructed her not to answer. Is that correct?      |
| 3   | MS. McRAE: Yes.                                     |
| 4   | MR. DRESCHER: Thank you.                            |
| 5   | Q. It's true, isn't it, Ms. Aznaran, that           |
| 6   | you filed a declaration in the Aznaran case stating |
| 7   | that the reason you discharged Mr. Greene in June   |
| 8   | of 1991 is that you didn't have any faith in his    |
| 9   | ability to prosecute the action through trial?      |
| 10  | A. Give me that again.                              |
| 11  | Q. Sure. Did you or did you not file a              |
| 12  | declaration in the Aznaran case in July of 1991 in  |
| 13  | which you stated that the reason that you           |
| L 4 | discharged Mr. Greene was because you did not have  |
| 15  | confidence in his ability to prosecute the case     |
| 16  | through trial?                                      |
| L 7 | MS. McRAE: Objection. I don't                       |
| 18  | think she knows what's been filed and what hasn't   |
| 19  | been filed, and I am not going to let her talk      |
| 20  | about anything that she may have sent to her        |
| 21  | lawyers that didn't get filed, unless you've got a  |
| 22  | file marked where you want her to look at it.       |
| 23  | MR. DRESCHER: Point well taken, Mr.                 |
| 24  | McRae. Let me change the question slightly.         |

Q. Do you recall executing a declaration

under penalty of perjury --1 2 MS. McRAE: Objection as to what 3 she's executed. That's attorney-client privilege, 4 if it was never used. I have no idea what 5 declaration you are talking about. 6 MR. DRESCHER: I'll finish the 7 question, and then if you want to continue the 8 objection, that's okay. 9 Do you have a recollection of executing 10 any declarations in the period of July 1991? 11 MS. McRAE: Just yes or no. 12 A. No, not specifically. 13 Do you have a specific recollection of 14 executing a declaration in July of 1991 in which 15 you made the statement that you did not have 16 confidence in Mr. Greene's ability to prosecute the 17 Aznaran case to trial? 18 MS. McRAE: Objection; 19 attorney-client privilege. Instruct you not to 20 answer. 21 Would you please give me your best 22 estimate of how many times you spoke by telephone 23 with Mr. Greene during the first six months of 1991?

Okay. Can make no estimate?

Many times. I have no idea how many.

24

25

A.

0.

1 No. That's too long ago. A lot of times A. 2 he talked to Richard, not me. 3 Q. I understand. I'm just asking about 4 you. 5 Do you recall Mr. Greene complaining to 6 you about having a bad back? 7 A. No. 8 Do you ever recall Mr. Greene talking to Q. 9 you about traveling out of town frequently? 10 MS. McRAE: About what? 11 MR. DRESCHER: Traveling out of town 12 frequently. 13 A. No. 14 0. Do you ever recall having any discussion 15 with Mr. Greene about how busy he was with cases 16 other than yours? 17 A. Yes. 18 Q. Frequent source of conversation between 19 you and Mr. Greene? 20 A. No. 21 Q. Once or twice maybe? 22 A. I don't know. 23 Do you recall whether that was in a Q. 24 period of 1991 from January to June? 25 No.

A.

| 1  | Q. Is it your impression that Mr. Greene was      |
|----|---|
| 2  | difficult to reach during the time he was your    |
| 3  | counsel of record?                                |
| 4  | A. Sometimes.                                     |
| 5  | Q. Do you recall coming to the conclusion         |
| 6  | that he was slow in returning phone calls during  |
| 7  | the time he was counsel of record for you?        |
| 8  | MS. McRAE: Objection;                             |
| 9  | attorney-client you're getting into the           |
| 10 | attorney-client communication, and                |
| 11 | MR. DRESCHER: Well, I'm not asking                |
| 12 | what she talked over with him. I'm asking if she  |
| 13 | had come to that conclusion herself, not even     |
| 14 | whether she ever discussed it with Mr. Greene. I  |
| 15 | want to know if she had reached the conclusion,   |
| 16 | sometime in the first six months of 1991, whether |
| 17 | Mr. Greene was slow in returning her phone calls. |
| 18 | MS. McRAE: All lawyers are. You                   |
| 19 | can answer.                                       |
| 20 | A. Sometimes; sometimes not. So the               |
| 21 | answer's, no, I don't think I ever reached a      |
| 22 | specific conclusion, wow, he takes a long time.   |
| 23 | Q. Sometimes he did and sometimes he didn't?      |
| 24 | A. Right.   |

Q. Did Mr. Greene ever tell you that he had

| 1  | checked into a rehabilitation center?                |
|----|--|
| 2  | A. No.   |
| 3  | MR. BERRY: Objection; relevancy.                     |
| 4  | Q. Did you ever hear from anyone that Mr.            |
| 5  | Greene had checked into a rehabilitation center?     |
| 6  | A. Yes.  |
| 7  | Q. From who did you hear it?                         |
| 8  | A. Barry Van Sickle.                                 |
| 9  | Q. About when did he tell you that?                  |
| 10 | A. I guess in '91 sometime.                          |
| 11 | Q. First six months?                                 |
| 12 | A. I don't know. Probably. Possibly.                 |
| 13 | Q. Do you recall what Mr. Van Sickle told            |
| 14 | you about that supposed event?                       |
| 15 | A. Just that.  |
| 16 | Q. Just made that observation to you?                |
| 17 | A. Right.  |
| 18 | Q. Is that while Mr. Greene was still your           |
| 19 | counsel of record                                    |
| 20 | A. Yes.  |
| 21 | Q when Van Sickle told you that?                     |
| 22 | A. Yes.  |
| 23 | Q. Did Mr. Greene ever bill you for any              |
| 24 | costs concerning a deposition that he didn't attend? |
| 25 | MS. McRAE: Objection;                                |

```
1
     attorney-client privilege.
 2
                    MR. DRESCHER: Are you instructing?
 3
                    MS. McRAE: I'm going to instruct
 4
     her not to answer.
 5
               Anytime I object on attorney-client
 6
     privilege, don't answer it.
 7
                    THE WITNESS: Okay.
 8
               I'll take it as a given that if Ms.
 9
     McRae instructs you not to answer, that you will
     follow her instruction. Is that correct?
10
11
          A.
               Yes.
12
                    MR. DRESCHER:
                                   Count on it, right?
13
                    MS. McRAE: Yes.
14
               Did you ever tell Barry Van Sickle that
          Q.
     Mr. Greene had billed you for anything he hadn't
15
16
     done?
17
                    MS. McRAE: Did you ever tell Barry
18
     Van Sickle --
19
                    MR. DRESCHER:
                                   That Mr. Greene had
20
     billed Ms. Aznaran or her husband for any work that
21
     he hadn't actually performed.
22
                    MS. McRAE: I'm going to object to
23
     attorney-client privilege there too, unless you
24
     want to give a time period. I still think Barry
```

had a continuing duty and a continuing privilege;

- 1 | throughout the course of their knowing each other.
- MR. DRESCHER: For the sake of
- 3 precision, I will give a time period. I'm
- 4 referring to the period between January 1, 1991 and
- 5 June 4, 1991.
- 6 MS. McRAE: And I am still going to
- 7 | object that it's attorney-client privilege and
- 8 instruct her not to answer.
- 9 Q. You made reference to Mr. Van Sickle
- 10 | telling you about Mr. Greene purportedly checking
- 11 into a rehabilitation center. Was that a
- 12 | telephonic conversation with Mr. Van Sickle?
- 13 A. Yes.
- Q. Did he call you in that -- for that
- 15 | conversation?
- 16 A. I don't remember.
- 17 Q. Do you recall about how many times in the
- 18 | first six months -- let's make it a little simpler.
- 19 Between January 1 and June 4, 1991, approximately
- 20 how many times did you communicate with Mr. Barry
- 21 | Van Sickle?
- 22 A. I have no idea.
- 23 MR. BERRY: Can I have those dates
- 24 again?
- MR. DRESCHER: Sure. January 1,

- 1 | 1991 to June 4, 1991.
- Q. I believe your answer was, you don't
- 3 know?

10

11

18

19

20

- 4 A. I have no idea.
- 5 Q. More than once?
- 6 A. Sure.
- 7 Q. More than five times?
- 8 A. Probably.
  - Q. If you could characterize for me, in that time period, about how frequently you had telephone communication with Barry Van Sickle.
- 12 A. I couldn't.
- Q. Do you think it was more than 10 times?
- 14 A. I couldn't say.
- Q. During that same time period, do you recall ever meeting Barry Van Sickle face-to-face?
- 17 A. No.
  - Q. Do you have any of those five or so -- I mean, I suspect it was more than five. Do you have any one of those particular telephone conversations in mind?
- 22 A. No.
- Q. Do you recall anything during that time period discussed in your conversations with Mr.
- 25 Van Sickle about Mr. Greene, other than Mr.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

21

- Van Sickle's assertion that he had checked into a rehab center?
  - A. What's your question?
  - Q. My question is, during that period from January 1, 1991 to June 4, 1991, do you have any recollection of anything else Mr. Van Sickle might have said about Mr. Greene, other than what you've already said about a rehab center?

MS. McRAE: Just answer yes or no.

MR. BERRY: And I object to any questions about Mr. Greene's alleged checking into a drug rehab center or drug use or anything else involving drugs in the case.

MR. DRESCHER: I understand your sensitivity to that issue with respect to this case, Mr. Berry.

MR. BERRY: Naughty for any editorial.

MR. DRESCHER: Same goes for you,
20 sir.

- Q. Do you have the question in mind?
- 22 A. No.

MR. DRESCHER: I'd like that one read back, please.

25 (Record read.)

- 1 A. No, not specifically, no.
- Q. In the period between January 1, 1991 and June 4, 1991, did you ever talk with Mr.
- Van Sickle about Mr. Van Sickle coming back as your counsel of record in the Aznaran case? Yes or no.
  - A. I don't know. Possibly.
  - Q. Did you ever have any such discussion with him at all after his disqualification?
    - A. Yes, off and on.
  - Q. Did you ever have any such discussion with -- let me strike that and start over.
  - You were a witness at an earlier action between these plaintiffs and Mr. Yanny, weren't you?
    - A. Yes.

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

- Q. And do you recollect that sometime after you testified in that case, that you were informed that judgment had been rendered for Mr. Yanny?
- A. Yes.
- Q. Do you recall approximately when you learned of that?
- A. No.
- Q. Well, from that point in time, whenever it was, between that point in time and the end of May 1991, do you recollect whether you discussed

- with Mr. Van Sickle the possibility of him coming back as counsel of record for you in the Aznaran case?
  - A. Yes.

- Q. That's the period you're talking about, where those kind of discussions were off and on. Is that right?
  - A. I don't know.

MS. McRAE: I'm going to let her answer this, but it is not to be construed as a waiver in going into what the communications were.

MR. DRESCHER: I understand. Thank
13 you.

- Q. Do you recall the last time that you spoke with Mr. Van Sickle about the possibility of him coming back into the Aznaran case?
  - A. Last summer, I guess.
- Q. Was that before -- that was before he communicated a settlement proposal in the Aznaran case to you, wasn't it?
  - A. No.
- Q. Okay. So it's your recollection that the last time you had a discussion with Mr. Van Sickle about the possibility of him coming back as your counsel in the Aznaran case was after Mr.

- Van Sickle delivered a settlement proposal to you
  in June of 1991. Is that right?
  - A. The time I'm thinking about was after that.
    - Q. But you had had discussions with him before that, off and on, right?
      - A. Right.
        - Q. Including before June of 1991?
    - A. Right.
  - Q. You can't quantify how many times you talked that over with him?
    - A. No.

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

- Q. Was that something that you wanted?
- 14 A. I'm sorry?
- Q. Is that something that you wanted?
- 16 A. Sometimes.
- Q. Sometimes not?
- 18 A. Right.
- Q. Did you ever ask Mr. Van Sickle to again
  become your counsel of record at any time after his
  disqualification in the Aznaran case?
- A. Sometimes he initiated these
  conversations about it, and sometimes Richard and I
  did.
- Q. But it never came to pass, did it?

| 1 A. No |
|---------|
|---------|

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

18

23

24

25

MS. McRAE: Well, objection; calls for a legal conclusion. I think at all times he was their lawyer, at least in some capacity.

You're talking about the attorney of record -
MR. DRESCHER: That's what I'm

limiting it to.

- Q. And you understood that to be the --
- A. Right.
  - Q. Okay. Now, if we can focus on the period before June, in other words, through May 1991, did you ever have any discussion with Mr. Van Sickle about the possibility of Mr. Yanny representing you and your husband in the Aznaran case?
    - A. I don't recall that.
- O. You don't recall --
- 17 A. You're talking about May of '91?
  - Q. Yes. Before May -- through May of 1991?
- 19 A. You mean forever?
- Q. Yes, from the beginning of time till then.

MS. McRAE: With Van Sickle?

MR. DRESCHER: Yes, with Van Sickle, yes or no, whether she discussed with Van Sickle, anytime before the end of May of 1991, whether Mr.

Yanny could enter the Aznaran case as the Aznarans'
counsel of record.

MS. McRAE: To the extent that there are papers filed in the Aznaran case in regard to the difficulty of getting an attorney and to the extent that these sorts of conversations were ordered by the court, by Judge Cardenas in the first Yanny case, I'm going to allow her to testify, but it's still my position that it's attorney-client privilege, and by no means are we waiving that by going into the conversation specifically.

MR. DRESCHER: I just asked, yes or no, whether she'd had, before the end of May 1991, any discussion with Mr. Van Sickle about Mr. Yanny assuming the position of counsel of record for you in the Aznaran case?

- A. When we didn't have an attorney before, yes.
- Q. The earlier time period? After Barry's disqualification?
  - A. Right.
- Q. That's the only time you ever recall talking to Mr. Van Sickle about Mr. Yanny coming in on your behalf?

- 1 A. That's all I recall right now.
- Q. Did you have any discussions, yes or no,
  with Mr. Van Sickle about Mr. Yanny becoming your
  counsel of record in the Aznaran case after May 31,
- 5 | 1991?

9

15

16

17

18

19

20

- A. I think so, yeah.
- Q. Do you recall on how many occasions?
- 8 A. No. Once, twice maybe.
  - Q. Do you recall when that was?
- 10 A. In the summer of '91.
- 11 Q. Can you be any more specific?
- 12 A. No.
- Q. Was it before or after you entered the case in pro per in June of 1991?
  - A. Probably after.
  - Q. Do you have any recollection of discussing with Mr. Van Sickle the possibility of Mr. Yanny coming in as counsel of record for you in the period between Memorial Day and the time that you actually substituted yourself in pro per?
    - A. No, I don't recall that.
- Q. Do you have any recollection of
  discussing with Mr. Yanny, in the time period
  between the time you learned about the judgment in
  the first Yanny case and the end of May 1991, about

- Mr. Van Sickle coming back on board as your counsel of record in the Aznaran case?
  - A. What?

- Q. Good point. I'm going to be asking the question of whether you had any communication with Mr. Yanny about the subject of Mr. Van Sickle again becoming your counsel of record in the Aznaran case. I'm focusing, in that question, on the period between the time you learned of the judgment Yanny 1 and the end of May 1991.
- A. It's possible. I don't recall anything specific.
- Q. Do you recall ever having had such a discussion with Mr. Yanny?
- A. It's very possible. I don't recall a specific discussion.
- Q. So as you sit here, you don't have a particular recollection of that topic being discussed between you and Mr. Yanny?
  - A. No.
- Q. Did you, at any time after the judgment in the -- well, let me strike that, because I have a feeling it was going to be a silly question, but I'll try it anyway.
- You did, in fact, at some point in 1991

- have a discussion with Mr. Yanny about Mr. Yanny
  becoming your counsel of record in 1991?
  - A. Yes.

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

- Q. Do you recall whether there was more than one such discussion?
  - A. Probably.
- Q. Do you recall when the first of any such discussions took place?
  - A. No.
- Q. Do you have any approximation of when that was?
- 12 A. Last summer.
- Q. Was it before or after Mr. Van Sickle
  communicated any settlement proposal to you for the
  Aznaran case?
- 16 A. After.
- Q. About how long after?
- 18 A. I don't know.
- 19 Q. Day or two?
- 20 A. I don't know when. I didn't have an 21 attorney. It was long enough for that to happen.
- Q. So you were already without an attorney
  at the time that you first talked to Mr. Yanny
  about him representing you?
- 25 A. Yes.

- 1 Q. You were in pro per?
- 2 A. Yes.

4

5

6

7

8

9

- Q. So it was sometime after the date you entered pro per and the time Mr. Yanny entered on your behalf as counsel of record that you had the first such discussion with him?
  - A. I believe, yes.
- Q. Do you recall about how many times you did discuss it with him in that period?
- 10 A. No.
  - Q. Do you know how long a period that was?
- 12 A. No.
- Q. Did you ever see a copy of an order from
  Judge Ideman approving the substitution of you in
  pro per for Mr. Greene?
- 16 A. I believe so.
- 17 Q. Do you recollect when you saw it?
- 18 A. Last summer.
- Q. About how long before Mr. Yanny entered an appearance on your behalf in the Aznaran case case did you see such an order?
- 22 A. I don't know.
- Q. Two weeks?
- 24 A. I have no idea.
- Q. Nothing to base a guess on?

- It was last summer. 1 A.
- 2 Q. That's all you can remember?
- 3 A. Yeah.
- 4 All right. Let's focus on -- you have Q. 5 in mind Mr. Van Sickle did communicate a settlement proposal to you in early June 1991, don't you?
- 7 A. Right.

- 8 He did so? Q.
- 9 A. Right.
- 10 By phone? Q.
- 11 A. Yes.
- 12 Was your sister, Karen, on the phone, Q. 13 with you?
- 14 A. Well, I wasn't on the phone. Richard 15 was.
- 16 0. Richard was. So it was your recollection that the actual settlement proposal was conveyed by 17 18 Mr. Van Sickle to your husband?
- 19 The first conversation. A.
- Okay. How many conversations were there? 20 Q.
- 21 I don't know. Several. A.
- 22 Were you involved in more than one? Q.
- 23 A. Maybe.
- 24 That's with Mr. Van Sickle? Q.
- 25 A. Right.

- Q. At least one?
- A. At least one.
  - Q. Was Ms. McRae on the phone with you on that one with Mr. Van Sickle that you referred to?
    - A. No.
  - Q. Did you have any telephonic discussion with Mr. Van Sickle about a settlement proposal made by the defendants in the Aznaran case in which Ms. McRae was also physically on the phone?

MS. McRAE: Now, what was that,

11 | again?

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

MR. DRESCHER: Let me try it again.

I see what you're saying.

- Q. Do you recollect ever having a telephone conversation with Barry Van Sickle in the summer of '91 in which the subject of a settlement proposal by the defendants in your case was discussed, in which Ms. McRae was also on the phone?
- A. No.
  - Q. Do you know whether your husband ever had such a telephone conversation with Mr. Van Sickle, with Ms. McRae on the phone?
    - A. I think so.
- Q. Okay. Do you know how many times?
- 25 A. No.

| 1  | Q.           | Did you ever ask your sister to represent |
|----|--------------|---|
| 2  | you in th    | ne Aznaran case?                          |
| 3  | A.           | Did I ever ask her to?                    |
| 4  | Q.           | Yes.                                      |
| 5  | A.           | Ever?                                     |
| 6  | Q.           | Ever.                                     |
| 7  | A.           | Sure.                                     |
| 8  | Q.           | Did you ever ask her to become your       |
| 9  | counsel c    | of record in that case?                   |
| 10 | Α.           | Yeah.                                     |
| 11 | · Q.         | How many occasions?                       |
| 12 | Α.           | Numerous.                                 |
| 13 | Q.           | Well, was the most recent when was the    |
| 14 | most recent? |   |
| 15 | Α.           | I don't know. A few months ago, maybe.    |
| 16 | Q.           | I'm sorry?                                |
| 17 | A.           | A few months ago, maybe.                  |
| 18 | Q.           | A few months ago.                         |
| 19 |              | Did you have any conversations with Ms.   |
| 20 | McRae abo    | ut becoming your counsel of record in the |
| 21 | Aznaran c    | ase prior to June of 1991?                |
| 22 | Α.           | Ever prior to June?                       |
| 23 | Q.           | Yes.                                      |
| 24 | Α.           | Yeah.                                     |
| 25 | Q.           | Anytime in the first six months of 1991?  |

1 A. Possibly.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

- Q. First five months?
  - A. I don't know.
    - Q. Do you recall having a conversation with your sister, Karen, in which you asked her to represent you in the Aznaran case in the period between January 1, 1991 and June 4, 1991?
      - A. I don't recall.
        - Q. Pretty good chance?
  - A. Maybe. I don't know.
- 11 Q. You asked her a number of times?
- 12 A. Right.
- Q. And she has not become counsel of record, has she?
- 15 A. No.
- Q. Do you recall asking your sister to
  become your counsel of record in the Aznaran case
  at any time during the period in which she was
  was pregnant?
- 20 A. I don't know. I mean, it's possible. I 21 don't have specific time I remember.
- Q. Ms. McRae gave birth sometime in early 1991; is that right?
- 24 A. No.
- Q. That's not correct?

MS. McRAE: July.

A. No.

Q. Did Ms. McRae give birth to a child, boy or girl, I don't know, but to a child after June of 1991?

MS. McRAE: I object to this being irrelevant and immaterial. Keep my personal life out of it. You guys make me nervous enough anyway, without getting into my personal life.

MR. DRESCHER: Let me explain it to you. I happen to agree with you that -- I don't really care. I'm trying to fix a point in time for Ms. Aznaran to spur her recollection.

I recollect taking your deposition in 1990, and I believe you were pregnant. That's the reason that I asked that. I don't even know if, when or to what you might have given birth. I assume that you did, and I congratulate you.

- Q. But I'm trying to figure out whether Ms.

  McRae gave birth to a child before or after June 1

  of 1991 in an effort to try to narrow down these

  dates. That's all I'm asking about. You don't

  have to give me any more detail as to whether Ms.

  McRae gave birth before or after June of 1991.
- A. Before.

- 1 Do you recall having any conversation Q. with Ms. McRae about her representing you as 3 counsel of record in the Aznaran case after she gave birth and before Mr. Van Sickle transmitted the settlement proposal? 6
  - A. Don't know. It's possible.
  - 0. Not certain?
    - A. No.

4

5

7

8

9

10

11

12

- At any time before May 31, 1991, did you Q. ever have any communication with C. Tony Wright about him representing you as counsel of record in the Aznaran case?
  - When? A.
- 14 Anytime before May 31, 1991. Q.
- 15 A. Yes.
- 16 Q. On more than one occasion?
- 17 A. Maybe.
- Would that occasion have been in the 18 Q. 19 period between January 1, 1991 and the end of May 20 1991?
- 21 A. I don't think so.
- 22 Q. You think it was before that?
- 23 Probably. A.
- 24 Mr. Wright and Ms. McRae were partners at 0. that time? 25

- 1 A. Yes.
- Q. Are they still?
  - A. No.

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

- Q. Do you recall approximately when that partnership ended? Again, the same purpose, to try to fix the date.
  - A. Late last year.
  - O. Late 1990?
- A. Late 1991.
  - Q. Excuse me, 1991. I've always been accused of living in the past.
  - Okay. Is it your recollection that at the time that you discussed with Mr. Wright the possibility of him representing you as counsel of record in the Aznaran case, that he and Ms. McRae were still partners?
  - A. Right.
  - Q. Good enough. And you don't have any recollection of having discussed the possibility of him representing you in the Aznaran case since their partnership dissolved?
    - A. I didn't.
      - Q. Do you know whether your husband did?
- A. I'm certain he wouldn't have.
- Q. Do you know whether Ms. McRae did?

1 A. I have no idea.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

20

Q. During the time in which you were -- had discussion with either Mr. Wright or Ms. McRae about coming in as your counsel of record, did those discussions include any suggestions as to who might be local counsel for them?

MS. McRAE: As to --

MR. DRESCHER: Who might be local counsel for you or Mr. Wright, should you become counsel of record in Los Angeles.

- A. Well, sometimes, yeah. A couple of times we thought about Ford would be. Seems like it was Ford that we talked about.
  - Q. Anybody else?
  - A. No.
- Q. Did you ever talk about Toby Plevin in that regard?
- A. I don't recall talking about Toby in that regard. It's possible, but I don't remember.
  - Q. As you sit here, you just don't recall?
- 21 A. Huh-uh (negative).
- Q. That's a no?
- 23 A. I don't recall.
- Q. Thank you.
- 25 At any time prior to -- excuse me. At

- any time up to and including May 31, 1991, did you
  ever have any discussion with Ms. Plevin about her
  becoming your counsel of record in the Aznaran
  case?
  - A. I'm sure when I didn't have an attorney, she was -- went to one of her partners about it.
    - Q. Well, that's back after Barry was disqualified?
      - A. Right.

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

- Q. All right. At any time after that did you have any discussion with Ms. Plevin becoming your counsel of record?
  - A. Prior to when?
- Q. Prior to -- I'm sorry. Let me rephrase it altogether.

You recall having a conversation with Ms. Plevin about being your counsel of record in the period immediately following Van Sickle's disqualification? Is that right?

- A. When I was pro per.
- Q. But after Barry was disqualified?
- A. Right.
- Q. Not the period in which you were pro per last summer?
- 25 A. I had discussions with her then, too.

- 1 Q. That's what I'm trying to get.
  - A. But that's after May of 1991.
    - Q. It certainly is, and I'll get to that in a second. But the only time that you recall, prior to May 31, 1991, having such a discussion with her was after Mr. Van Sickle had been disqualified?
    - A. Right. But it seems like it may be once or twice during those years that she may have -- you know, a passing comment, I would be glad to work on it, whatever, kind of deal.
      - Q. Originated by her, in other words?
- 12 A. Right.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

13

17

18

19

20

- Q. I see. Okay. I'm sorry.
- A. But it was never something that really
  got into a big discussion about it. It was more of
  a passing comment.
  - Q. And that would be in the period between the time that Barry was disqualified and this past summer?
    - A. No.
    - Q. When would it be?
- A. Well, I told you we had a serious
  discussion about it after Barry was disqualified,
  and she went to her partner that she had at the
  time.

1 Q. That was Mr. Sayre. Does that ring a 2 bell? Maybe. Anyway, it didn't happen, and 3 A. 4 then after that there were these passing comments 5 off and on. 6 Did you approach her in the summer of 7 1991 to become counsel of record? 8 Either myself or Richard. Probably both 9 of us. 10 0. Do you recall on how many occasions? 11 Maybe several phone calls. A. 12 That was before the settlement offer was Q. 13 conveyed, wasn't it? 14 A. No. 15 Q. That was only after? 16 A. Right. 17 In that period between the time that you 0. substituted yourself in pro per and the time Mr. 18 19 Yanny entered the case as your counsel of record; 20 is that right? 21 A. Yes. 22 That you had more than one conversation 23 with Toby Plevin about representing you? 24 A. Right.

She declined?

25

0.

- 1 A. More or less.
- Q. Well, she never became your counsel of record?
  - A. Right.
- Q. Did you ask her to become your counsel of 6 record?
  - A. Yes.

7

8

9

10

11

13

17

18

- Q. Did she comply?
  - A. It didn't happen that way. If you want me to go into how it happened, I don't know if I should.
- 12 Q. Sure. Go ahead.
  - MS. McRAE: No.
- MR. DRESCHER: Let me ask the question, and then you can object.
- Q. Yes, please, go into how it happened.
  - MS. McRAE: I object that it's attorney-client privilege, and instruct her not to answer.
- Q. Okay. Now, I'd like you to focus on the time period between the time that Mr. Van Sickle communicated that settlement offer in early June and the time in which Mr. Yanny entered the Aznaran case as your counsel of record. Do you have that time frame in mind?

- 1 A. Uh-huh (affirmative).
- Q. That's a yes?
  - A. Yes.

4

5

6

8

- Q. During that time period, did you have any discussions with Ms. McRae about becoming your counsel of record in the Aznaran case?
- 7 A. Yes.
  - Q. With Mr. Wright?
  - A. I don't recall any with him.
- 10 Q. With Mr. Van Sickle?
- 11 A. Yes.
- 12 Q. With Mr. Yanny?
- 13 A. Yes.
- 14 Q. With Ms. Plevin?
- 15 A. Yes.
- 16 Q. With Mr. Elstead?
- 17 A. Is this prior to Yanny getting in?
- Q. Same time period, between the time that

  Van Sickle communicated the settlement proposal and

  the time Yanny entered the case as counsel of

  record.
- 22 A. I didn't talk to John Elstead then.
- Q. Did you talk to any other attorneys,

  other than Ms. McRae, Mr. Van Sickle and Mr. Yanny

  and Ms. Plevin, during that time about representing

| 1   | you as counsel of record in the Aznaran case?       |
|-----|---|
| 2   | A. I don't remember any.                            |
| 3   | Q. Do you know if your husband talked to any        |
| 4   | other lawyer turning that time period               |
| 5   | A. I don't know for sure.                           |
| 6   | Q about becoming the counsel of record              |
| 7   | for you in the Aznaran case?                        |
| 8   | A. I don't know.                                    |
| 9   | Q. During that same time period, Mr.                |
| LO  | Van Sickle communicated the settlement proposal and |
| 11  | the time Mr. Yanny made his appearance as the       |
| 12  | counsel of record in Aznaran, did you have any      |
| 13  | discussions with any nonlawyer about finding new    |
| L 4 | counsel for you in the Aznaran case?                |
| L 5 | MS. McRAE: About any nonlawyer                      |
| 16  | doing what?   |
| L 7 | MR. DRESCHER: No. Discussion with                   |
|     |   |

MR. DRESCHER: No. Discussion with any nonlawyer during that time period about perhaps finding representation for you and your husband in the Aznaran case?

- A. I think I talked to Margaret Singer.
- Q. Anyone else? Any other layperson in the sense of nonlawyer? Again, that same time frame.
- A. I don't recall anyone else. May have been, but I just don't recall it now.

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

- Q. Did Dr. Singer make any recommendations about lawyers?
- A. Eventually she recommended John Elstead, but I don't believe she did on the first call. She had to see what she could find.
- Q. How many calls with Dr. Singer did you have on that subject?
- A. Two.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

- Q. And the second one, to your knowledge, was after Mr. Yanny had entered an appearance as your counsel of record?
  - A. Right.
    - Q. And the first was before that time?
- 14 A. Yes.
- Q. Do you recall whether Dr. Singer

  mentioned Mr. Elstead's name in the first or second

  of those conversations?
- 18 A. Second.
- Q. Did you initiate that phone call to her or did she call you?
- 21 A. I called her.
- Q. About how long did you talk on that occasion?
- 24 A. Don't know. Not long. Five minutes.
- 25 Q. Okay. Will you please recount for me

- what you said to her and what she said to you in this conversation?
- A. I told her that I needed a lawyer, and she told me that she would see if she could find one.
- Q. That was the second of the calls or the first?
  - A. First.

9

10

- Q. Anything else that you talked to her about your case or about Mr. Greene or about needing a lawyer in that first call?
- 12 A. I don't think so.
- Q. She didn't give you any lawyers' names in that first call?
- 15 A. No.
- Q. Didn't mention any at all?
- 17 A. No.
- Q. In the second call did you call her or did she call you?
- 20 A. I think I called her.
- Q. For how long did you speak with Dr.
- 22 | Singer on that occasion?
- 23 A. Don't know. Short, brief.
- Q. It was during that call she gave you Mr.
- 25 | Elstead's name?

- 1 A. Yes. 2 You heard of him before, though, right? 0. 3 I don't think so. 4 You'd heard of him from Mr. Gutfreund, Q hadn't you? 5 6 A. No. 7 You don't have any recollection of Mr. 8 Gutfreund mentioning Mr. Elstead's name at any time 9 to you before your calls to Dr. Singer? 10 I don't think so. A. 11 0. Did Dr. Singer mention any other lawyers' 12 names in that second telephone call that you had? 13 No, I don't believe so. A. 14 Was Mr. Yanny still your counsel of Q. 15 record at the time that you had the second 16 telephone call with Dr. Singer? 17 A. I think so. 18 Do you recall anything else said by 19 either Dr. Singer or you in your first telephone 20 call with her in that period?
- 21 A. During which one?
- 22 O. The first one.
- 23 A. No.
- Q. How about the second?
- 25 A. No.

```
1
               It wasn't the first time that you had
     talked to Dr. Singer in that first call, was it?
 2
 3
          A.
               In my life?
          Q.
               Yes.
 5
          A.
               No.
 6
               How about in the six months preceding
          Q.
 7
     that phone call? Had you talked to her before?
 8
          A.
               No.
 9
               You've designated her as an expert
     witness in the Aznaran case, haven't you?
10
11
               I couldn't say.
          A.
12
          Q.
             Don't know?
13
          A.
             Don't know.
14
              Do you know what a Frye motion is?
          Q.
15
          A.
               No.
16
          Q.
               Did you ever hear of the term?
17
          A.
               No.
18
               Have you ever seen one, to your
          Q.
19
     knowledge?
20
               Not that I know of.
          A.
21
                    MR. BERRY: Can we expect one of
22
     those from Harris?
23
                    MR. DRESCHER: Pardon?
24
                    MR. BERRY: Can we expect one of
25
     those from Harris?
```

| 1  | MR. DRESCHER: From Harris?                          |
|----|---|
| 2  | MR. BERRY: "You don't recall Mr.                    |
| 3  | Harris on death row?                                |
| 4  | MR. DRESCHER: That Harris. I                        |
| 5  | thought I had missed something. I would suspect he  |
| 6  | would be coming up with some, if he hasn't already. |
| 7  | When's he set to go?                                |
| 8  | MR. BERRY: Couple of weeks.                         |
| 9  | Q. Okay. I'm still focusing, Ms. Aznaran,           |
| 10 | on the period between June 5th excuse me,           |
| 11 | between the time Mr. Van Sickle communicated the    |
| 12 | settlement proposal and the time Mr. Yanny entered  |
| 13 | his appearance as counsel of record. You indicated  |
| 14 | that during that period you discussed with Ms.      |
| 15 | McRae the possibility of her becoming your counsel  |
| 16 | of record. She did not become so, did she?          |
| 17 | A. No.  |
| 18 | Q. Do you recall having more than one               |
| 19 | discussion with her on that subject during that     |
| 20 | time period?  |
| 21 | A. Probably.  |
| 22 | Q. Did she give any reasons why she did not         |
| 23 | choose to do so?                                    |
| 24 | A. Yes.   |
| 25 | Q. What was it or they?                             |

| 1  | A. I won't say.                                     |
|----|---|
| 2  | MS. McRAE: Objection;                               |
| 3  | attorney-client privilege.                          |
| 4  | Q. During that period you had a discussion          |
| 5  | or discussions with Mr. Van Sickle about the        |
| 6  | possibility of him coming into your case as counsel |
| 7  | of record, correct?                                 |
| 8  | A. Which time period?                               |
| 9  | Q. This same period, between the time he            |
| 10 | conveyed the settlement proposal and the time Mr.   |
| 11 | Yanny entered the case as your counsel.             |
| 12 | A. Yes.   |
| 13 | Q. More than one occasion?                          |
| 14 | A. Yes.   |
| 15 | Q. He declined?                                     |
| 16 | A. He wanted to wait until Corydon was              |
| 17 | settled.  |
| 18 | Q. That's the Corydon case that he                  |
| 19 | was co-counsel with Ms. Plevin on?                  |
| 20 | A. Right.   |
| 21 | Q. Did he indicate a willingness to become          |
| 22 | your counsel of record after Corydon had settled?   |
| 23 | A. Yes.   |
| 24 | O. The only reason he advanced, to your             |

knowledge, as to why he'd assume your

- representation at that point we're discussing was because the Corydon case settlement discussions were ongoing?
  - A. Right.

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

- Q. During the period between, again, Mr. Van Sickle's conveyance of the settlement proposal and the time Mr. Yanny entered the Aznaran case as your counsel of record, you did have discussions with Mr. Yanny on that subject, you've already testified. How many occasions?
  - A. I don't understand the question.
- Q. Sure. In the period between the time that Mr. Van Sickle conveyed the settlement proposal and the time Mr. Yanny made his appearance as your counsel of record in Aznaran, how many discussions did you have with Mr. Yanny on the topic of him becoming your counsel of record?
  - A. Several.
  - Q. Can you quantify in any way?
- A. No.
- Q. Were they weekly?
- 22 A. No.
- Q. More frequent than that?
- 24 A. I don't know.
- Q. Mr. Yanny did agree to become your

- 1 counsel of record, did he not? 2 Yes. 3 Did you suggest it to him or did he 4 suggest it to you? 5 A. I suggested it to him. 6 0. Did he agree? 7 A. Finally. 8 You had to persuade him? Q. 9 A. Yes. 10 But you did manage to do so? Q. 11 A. Yes. 12 How did you persuade him? Q. Well, summary judgment motions were filed 13 A. on this thing. We didn't have any counsel. 14 15 And that's what convinced him to go ahead 16 and join the fray? 17 MS. McRAE: I'm going to object to 18 going any further into this as being 19 attorney-client privilege. 20 MR. DRESCHER: And instruct her, I
- 21 | assume?
- MS. McRAE: And instruct her not to
- 23 | answer.
- Q. During that same period, between Mr.
- 25 | Van Sickle's conveyance of the settlement proposal

- and Mr. Yanny's appearance as your counsel of record in Aznaran, you said you had at least one discussion with Ms. Plevin about becoming your counsel of record?
- 5 A. Yes.

9

10

15

16

17

18

19

21

22

- Q. Was it more than one?
- 7 A. I said at least one. It might have been 8 two. I'm not sure.
  - Q. Did you ask Ms. Plevin to become your counsel of record in this case?
- 11 A. Yes.
- 12 Q. Did she decline?
- A. I think we already went through all of this.
  - Q. I think we did too. I just want to make sure I touched that.
  - MS. McRAE: She cannot answer that with a yes or no, and I am going to instruct her not to answer it any other way.
- MR. DRESCHER: Okay.
  - Q. But she did not ever become your counsel of record in the Aznaran case?
    - A. Correct.
- Q. Did you talk to any other nonlawyer
  besides Margaret Singer during that same period

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

- 1 about finding a lawyer for you in the Aznaran case?
  - A. I think I already answered that.
    - Q. The answer's no?
      - A. I don't think that's what I said.
    - Q. You think you don't know. Is that right?
    - A. I don't know why we're going over and over these same things. It's getting late.
    - Q. Your recollection is you don't recall whether you did or not. Is that right?
      - A. That's right.
    - Q. In that same period, from the time Mr.

      Van Sickle conveyed the settlement proposal to when

      Mr. Yanny had made his appearance as your counsel

      of record, did you have any conversation or

      communication with Cynthia Kisser?

MS. McRAE: With who?

MR. DRESCHER: Cynthia Kisser.

- A. I don't recall any.
- Q. During that same time period, did you have any communication with Priscilla Coates?
  - A. No.
- Q. Do during that same time period did you have any communication with Michael Flynn?
- A. No.
- Q. During that same time period did you have

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

24

- any communication with anyone affiliated with the Cult Awareness Network, other than Dr. Singer?
  - I don't recall.
  - During the period between the time Mr. Van Sickle conveyed the settlement proposal and the time Mr. Yanny entered his appearance as your counsel of record, did you have any communication with Ford Greene on the subject of him coming back into your case to represent you as counsel of record?
  - I don't recall one. It's possible, but I don't recall one.
  - Let's expand on that just a little. Between the time that Mr. Van Sickle conveyed the settlement proposal to you and the time that Judge Ideman ordered Ford Greene back into the case as your counsel of record, did you have any discussion about Ford Greene remaining or becoming your counsel of record?
    - With Ford Greene? A.
- Yes. Q.
  - I don't recall one. A.

23 (Recess taken.)

Ms. Aznaran, anytime prior to June 1991, Q. 25 did you -- it's a yes or no question -- have any

discussions with Mr. Greene about replacing him as your counsel of record?

MR. BERRY: Prior to when?

MR. DRESCHER: Prior to June of

1991.

MR. BERRY: Prior to June.

- A. I don't think so.
- Q. In that same period, prior to June of 1991, did you ever have any communication with Mr. Van Sickle about Mr. Greene being replaced as counsel of record? That's a different question than I asked before. Maybe I ought to just -- I'm not asking now specifically whether you had any discussions with Mr. Van Sickle about him becoming your counsel of record prior to June 1991. I'm asking whether you had any discussions or communication with Mr. Van Sickle, prior to June 1991, in which you discussed replacing Ford Greene as your counsel of record.
- A. I'm not sure if we had any about discussing replacing him or Barry joining him. It could have been either way or both.
  - Q. You don't have a specific recollection --
- 24 A. No.
- 25 | Q. -- in that regard?

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

23

Do you recall prior to June 1991 having any communication with Mr. Van Sickle about Ford Greene's representation of you? Yes or no.

A. Yeah, I believe so.

- Q. More than one occasion?
- A. Possibly, yeah.
- Q. In the course of any of those communications with Mr. Van Sickle, did you tell him that it was your intention to replace Mr. Greene as your counsel of record?

MS. McRAE: Object; attorney-client privilege as to the specific conversation.

Instruct her not to answer.

MR. DRESCHER: Okay. I just want to make sure we got this completely covered, and this one I want to highlight to be a yes-no question.

- Q. Anytime prior to June 1991 did you talk to Barry Van Sickle about Ford Greene's representation of you in the Aznaran case?
  - A. Yes.
  - Q. On how many occasions?
- 22 A. I don't know.
  - Q. More than one?
- A. Maybe.
- Q. More than five?

1 A. No.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

- Q. In any of those conversations with Mr. Van Sickle, did you ask him to recommend a lawyer to replace Mr. Greene?
  - A. I don't believe so.
  - Q. In any of these conversations with Mr. Van Sickle, did you discuss the possibility of Ms. McRae coming on board as your counsel of record in the Aznaran case?
- MR. BERRY: That sounds like 11 fishing.
- MR. DRESCHER: It sounds like nonsense. The question's out there.
  - A. I don't recall that.
  - Q. Okay. During any of those conversations with Mr. Van Sickle, did you discuss with him the possibility of Mr. Yanny becoming your counsel of record in the Aznaran case?
    - A. I think I already testified that I did.
    - Q. Prior to June 1991?
  - A. Yeah. Specifically I believe when we didn't have counsel, we talked about it at length.
- Q. How about in the first five months of 1991? Do you recall discussing with Mr. Van Sickle the possibility of Mr. Yanny replacing Ford Greene

- 1 | as your counsel of record?
  - A. I don't believe so.
  - Q. In the first five months of 1991 do you recall discussing with Mr. Van Sickle the possibility of Ms. McRae representing you?
    - A. I don't believe so.
    - Q. How about as to Mr. Wright?
  - A. No.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

12

- Q. Ms. Plevin?
  - A. I don't think so.
- 11 Q. Anyone else?
  - A. I don't believe so.
- Q. At any time prior to June 1991 did you ever have communication with Ford Greene about replacing him as your counsel of record? Yes or no.
  - A. No.
- Q. Yes or no, Ms. Aznaran, do you recall
  what you and Mr. Van Sickle talked about, the
  substance of what you talked about when you
  discussed Mr. Yanny replacing Mr. Greene?
- MR. BERRY: Run that past me again.
- MR. DRESCHER: Sure. She

  identified that she does have a recollection at

  some point prior to 1991, discussing with Mr.

- Van Sickle the possibility of Mr. Yanny replacing
  Mr. Greene.
- MS. McRAE: I don't think she testified to that.
- 5 MR. DRESCHER: I believe she did. 6 Let me ask it again.
- MS. McRAE: She said when she didn't have a lawyer, she discussed.

9 MR. DRESCHER: I understand.

- Q. That's the time period, right? It's when you did not have a lawyer, after Mr. Van Sickle's disqualification, that you had one or more discussions with Mr. Van Sickle about Yanny becoming your counsel of record?
  - A. Right.
- Q. Yes or no, do you recall the substance of any of those conversations?
  - A. Yes.
  - Q. Okay. Would you please relate to me, as best you can, what that substance is?
- 21 A. No.

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

22

23

24

- MS. McRAE: I'm going to instruct her not to answer based on the attorney-client privilege.
- Q. To your knowledge, Ms. Aznaran, has there

- been only one settlement proposal made by the
  defendants in your case?
- A. To my knowledge, there has been more than one.
  - Q. Okay. When was the most recent?
- A. A couple of months ago, a month ago.
- 7 Recently.

- Q. Made by the defendants?
- 9 A. Yeah.
- Q. Okay. Now, prior to that, do you recall whether there were any settlement proposals made by the defendants in the Aznaran case?
- 13 A. I don't recall.
- Q. Do you recall one around June, early June 15 1991?
- 16 A. Yes.
- Q. So other than the one more recently and the one in early June 1991, are you aware of any others?
- 20 A. I don't recall any others.
- 21 Q. Okay.
- 22 A. I don't think there's been anything
  23 serious.
- Q. I take it you didn't take either of those settlement proposals as being serious. Is that

- 1 right? 2 I thought we were talking about prior to 3 this. 4 No. I'm just talking about those two. I Q. 5 wanted to make sure that I understood your last response. 6 7 MS. McRAE: She said that she --8 prior to those two, that she doesn't recall any 9 serious offers. 10 MR. DRESCHER: I see. 11 MS. McRAE: You didn't ask her 12 whether she thought those last two were serious. 13 MR. DRESCHER: I see.
- Q. Is that what the import of your testimony was?
  - A. Right.

- Q. Did you think either of those two that you identified were serious?
- A. When Barry called he seemed to think that it was serious.
- 21 Q. The question was, did you?
- 22 A. I believed him.
- Q. That's the one back in early June?
- 24 A. Right.
- 25 0. 1991?

A. I believe so.

1

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

22

- Q. Now, when Mr. Van Sickle communicated
  that settlement proposal back in early June 1991,
  did I understand you to say that there was actually
  a sequence of phone calls concerning that
  settlement proposal that took place?
  - A. I didn't say anything about a sequence.
  - Q. Was there more than one phone call in which you participated in which that settlement proposal was discussed?
    - A. I believe there were.
      - Q. How many do you believe there were?
      - A. In which I participated?
- 14 Q. That's right.
- 15 A. Two or three, maybe.
- Q. Are you aware -- or have you been informed, I should say, have you been informed of other telephone calls concerning that early June 1991 settlement proposal involving Mr. Van Sickle in which you were not a party?
- 21 A. Yes.
  - Q. How many such calls are you aware?
  - A. I don't know. I know of one, maybe more.
- Q. Now, the one of which you know to which you were not a party, was that between Mr. Van

1 | Sickle and your husband?

- A. I believe so.
- Q. Are you aware of more than one telephone conversation on the subject of that settlement proposal between your husband and Mr. Van Sickle?
- A. Seems like there were, but I don't know for sure.
- Q. So that just from what you just told me, it's your recollection that there were anywhere from three to a half dozen calls, somewhere in that range, concerning that settlement proposal back in early June of last year?
- A. I don't know. I don't recall specifically.
  - Q. Whatever your numbers came to, according to your testimony, they come to?
    - A. Right.
  - Q. Now, in the two or three phone calls on that subject to which you were party, do you recall whether Mr. Van Sickle was on the phone on each such occasion?
    - A. Yes.
  - Q. And in any of those phone calls you had at that time with Mr. Van Sickle, was any other person on the line, to your knowledge?

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

16

17

18

- 1 A. No, not those phone calls.
  - Q. So those phone calls were exclusively between you and Mr. Van Sickle?
    - A. I believe so.
    - Q. And concerning the telephone call of which you said you were aware between Mr.

      Van Sickle and your husband, was there anyone else on the phone with them, to your knowledge or information?
      - A. I don't know. I couldn't say.
  - Q. These telephone calls about that settlement proposal took place, what, in the period of a couple of days of each other?
- A. Seems like more time than that had elapsed.
  - Q. By how long?
  - A. I don't know. A day, several days, I don't know.
    - Q. Several days. Less than a week?
- 20 A. Don't know. Probably more.
- Q. And you have no recollection of any telephone call in that period on the subject of the settlement in which the participants were you, Mr.
- 24 | Van Sickle and Ms. McRae?
- 25 A. No.

1 Q. No recollection at all? 2 A. No. During that period of time, however long 3 Q. 4 it was, was a settlement figure relayed to you? 5 Yes. A. 6 By whom? Q. 7 A. Once by Richard, which he got from Barry, 8 I understand, and then from Barry. 9 The same number both times? Q. 10 A. I don't remember. 11 Q. Do you remember the number? 12 A. No. 13 Q. It's your recollection that you heard 14 first from Richard or first from Barry? 15 Richard was the first to speak to Barry, A. 16 and then he told me after the phone call. 17 All right. So the first you learned of 0. 18 the settlement proposal was from your husband? 19 Correct. A. 20 0. Who learned it from Barry? 21 A. That's my understanding. 22 So is it your understanding that the Q. 23 first phone call conveying such a settlement 24 proposal was made by Mr. Van Sickle to your

25

husband?

- 1 A. I believe so.
  - Q. Okay. Then your husband told you?
  - A. Correct.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

- Q. Now, of those phone calls that you have some recollection of, what was the next in order of time?
- A. I don't know how to tell you what the next one was. What are you asking?
- Q. Well, I'm asking you -- well, let's take it more step by step. Barry called your husband and conveyed the settlement proposal, correct?
  - A. That's my understanding.
- Q. Then your husband conveyed the terms of the proposal to you?
  - A. Right.
    - Q. And what was your reaction?
- A. Mild interest, I guess, you know.
- Q. And you don't recollect what the number was?
- 20 A. No.
- Q. Do you recollect whether you thought the number was sufficient for the purposes of settling the case?
- 24 A. I did not.
- 25 Q. You had that realization right away when

```
1
     any counterproposal to the defendants in the
 2
     Aznaran case at that time?
 3
                    MS. McRAE: Objection;
 4
     attorney-client privilege. And if you want to ask
     it, did she convey a counterclaim, you can ask
 5
 6
     that.
 7
                    MR. DRESCHER: Okay. I'll do that.
               Did you convey any counterproposal to Mr.
 8
          Q.
     Van Sickle --
 9
10
                    MS. McRAE: Well, to defendants.
11
                    MR. DRESCHER: Well, that wasn't the
12
     question.
                    MS. McRAE: Well, then I object,
13
14
     because it's attorney-client privilege.
                    MR. DRESCHER: Let me finish the
15
     question, then, and I will ask them both, just to
16
17
     alert you to it.
               Did you authorize Mr. Van Sickle to
18
19
     convey any counterproposal to the defendants?
20
                    MS. McRAE: Objection;
21
     attorney-client privilege. Instruct her not to
22
     answer.
23
               Did you authorize the conveyance of any
          0.
24
     kind of proposal to the defendants?
25
                    MS. McRAE: That's the same
```

1 question.

6

7

8

9

- MR. DRESCHER: No. I left
- 3 Van Sickle out.
- MS. McRAE: Did you authorize any --
- 5 MR. DRESCHER: Excuse me.
  - Q. Did you make any kind of proposal to the defendants?
  - A. Yes.
  - Q. To whom did you make such a proposal?
- 10 A. Van Sickle.
  - Q. And when was that?
- 12 A. Last summer.
- Q. That's the best you can do on time?
- A. Well, it would have been in the early

  part when I was talking to Barry.
- Q. Within a couple of days of Mr. Van Sickle conveying the offer to you?
- A. No. Probably -- I don't know. Sometime
  within a few weeks around that time period this
  went on. I don't know exactly how long.
- Q. Do you know what Mr. Van Sickle did, if anything, with that counterproposal?
- 23 A. No.
- Q. Did you ever hear back from him about it?
- 25 A. Probably.

1 Okay. Do you know, from Mr. Van Sickle 2 or otherwise, to whom Mr. Van Sickle communicated 3 that proposal? 4 A. Yes. 5 0. To whom? 6 A. According to him, to you and Quinn. 7 Okay. Did he tell you when he did so? Q. Probably. 8 A. 9 Do you recall? Q. 10 A. No. 11 Did he share with you the reaction of the Q. defendants? 12 13 MS. McRAE: Now, I'm going to object 14 as to specifically getting into what the 15 communication was. MR. DRESCHER: That's fine. 16 17 just asking yes or no. 18 A. Probably. 19 Do you have any specific recollection of Q. 20 it? 21 A. No. 22 Do you recall in June of 1991 asking Ford 23 Greene to sign a substitution of attorney form 24 substituting him out of the case and you into it

25

pro per?

1 A. No. 2 Do you recall -- do you know whether your Q. 3 husband made such a request of him? 4 A. I don't know. 5 Q. Did Mr. Greene just do that on his own? 6 I couldn't say. A. 7 Do you know whether Mr. Greene ever Q. 8 executed such a document? 9 A. Don't know. 10 Do you know whether you ever executed a 11 document that substituted Mr. Greene out of the 12 Aznaran case and you in his place in pro per? I believe so. 13 A. 14 How did that document come to be? Q. 15 I don't know. A. You don't know where that document came 16 0. from? 17 18 A. I don't remember. I'm sure I did at the 19 time. 20 You don't recall asking Mr. Greene not to Q. 21 be your lawyer in that case anymore? 22 No, I don't recall. A. 23 Any discussions with him in the period of 0.

June 1991 about him ceasing to be your counsel of

24

25

record?

1 No, I don't think so. A. 2 Q. Did you fire him? 3 Do you mean personally? A. 4 Q. Yes. 5 A. No. 6 Q. Did your husband? 7 A. Well, this is a little complicated, the 8 way you're asking it. 9 Why don't you tell me what happened that 10 led to Mr. Greene --11 A. I don't think I should. 12 Let me finish the question before you Q. 13 object. 14 Would you please tell me the circumstances that led to you substituting yourself 15 16 in pro per in place of Mr. Greene in the Aznaran 17 case in June of 1991? 18 MS. McRAE: I object in that it 19 calls for communications that are privileged, and I 20 have no idea what she's going to say in a 21 narrative, and therefore, have to object to your 22 entire question. And I instruct her not to 23 answer unless --24 (Witness and counsel confer.) 25 THE WITNESS: Ever and ever and

- 1 | your husband told you the number?
- A. Well, I didn't have to break out the adding machine.
  - Q. You concluded quickly that that number wasn't enough, didn't you?
    - A. Yes.

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

- Q. Like right away, right?
- A. Right. But Barry had told Richard that he thought he could get a proper figure, and Richard relayed that to me, and that's why I was interested.
- Q. I see. Did Richard tell you that Barry had said it was a one time only offer?
  - A. I don't remember those words.
- Q. Do you remember whether your husband said Barry said it was a take it or leave it offer?
  - A. I don't remember.
- Q. Do you recall whether Richard told you that Barry had conveyed any indication that that was a firm offer and that negotiations weren't going to be embarked upon?
  - A. I don't remember that.
- Q. Is it your understanding that your
  husband felt any differently about that number when
  Barry told him your reaction?

1 I don't understand that question. 2 Did your husband agree with you that that Q. really wasn't much to think about with that 3 particular number? 4 5 I didn't say that there wasn't that much to think about. 6 7 You immediately concluded it wasn't 8 enough. You did say that? 9 Yes. A. 10 Q. Was it your impression that your husband had reached the same conclusion at that time? 11 12 A. Correct. 13 Was it your impression that Barry had reached the same conclusion concerning that number? 14 15 A. Correct. 16 0. Did you then discuss that number or that 17 settlement proposal with anyone? 18 A. No. 19 Did you discuss it with your husband? Q. 20 You mean in my whole life ever? A. 21 No, no, no. I'm talking about 0. 22 immediately after learning the number, did you have 23 discussion about it with your husband? 24 A. No.

You both had the same mind on it, right?

25

0.

1 Right. A. 2 Did you, at any time point during the 3 next week or so, discuss that number with your 4 sister, Karen? 5 I don't recall. 6 Do you know whether Rick had any 7 discussion with Karen about that number? 8 I don't remember. 9 Do you remember on what day Mr. Van Sickle telephoned your husband with that 10 11 number? 12 A. No. Do you recall the day of the week? 13 Q. 14 A. No. 15 Q. Time of day? 16 A. Daylight. 17 It was daylight hours. Q. You were here in Dallas, correct? 18 19 A. No. 20 Where were you? Q. 21 Cozumel. A. 22 I'm sorry? Q. 23 Cozumel. A. 24 0. Cozumel. You were in Mexico. Staying in a hotel? 25

|     | VICKI AZ | MANAN 4-14-32 32                        |
|-----|----------|---|
| 1   | A.       | Right.                                  |
| 2   | Q.       | Which one?                              |
| 3   | A.       | Don't remember the name of it.          |
| 4   | Q.       | Ms. McRae wasn't with you, was she?     |
| 5   | A.       | No.                                     |
| 6   | Q.       | Mr. Greene wasn't with you, was he?     |
| 7   | A.       | No.                                     |
| 8   | Q.       | Mr. Yanny wasn't with you, was he?      |
| . 9 | A.       | No.                                     |
| 10  | Q.       | Just with you and your husband?         |
| 11  | A.       | There were many other people, but no    |
| 12  | lawyers. |   |
| 13  | Q.       | It was vacation after all, right?       |
| 14  | A.       | Right.                                  |
| 15  | Q.       | I try to avoid lawyers when I'm on a    |
| 16  | holiday  | too.                                    |
| 17  |          | How long had you been in Cozumel at the |
| 18  | time tha | t you got the call?                     |
| 19  | A.       | I don't recall.                         |
| 20  | Q.       | More than a week?                       |
| 21  | A.       | No. The whole trip was a week.          |
| 22  | Q.       | Do you recall what day of the week you  |
| 23  | left for | Cozumel?                                |
| 24  | A.       | No.                                     |
| 25  | Q.       | Do you recall what day of the week you  |

- 1 | came home from Cozumel?
- 2 A. No.

6

7

8

9

12

13

- Q. It was a seven-day trip?
- A. Seven or eight.
  - Q. Do you have any recollection of how long you had been at Cozumel when you received -- when Rick received the call from Barry?
  - A. No.
    - Q. How did Barry know you were in Cozumel?
- 10 A. I don't know. I assume he got it from 11 someone, but I'd have to guess.
  - Q. You hadn't told him before you went that you were going to be there?
- 14 A. No.
- Q. Had you told Mr. Yanny that you were going to be in Cozumel before you left?
- 17 A. No.
- 18 Q. Had you told Ms. McRae?
- 19 A. Yes.
- Q. Do you know whether Mr. Van Sickle found out from Ms. McRae that you and your husband were in Cozumel?
  - A. I assume that he did, but I never asked.
- Q. Now, at some point did you instruct or your husband instruct Mr. Van Sickle to reject that

- 1 | settlement figure?
- 2 A. I don't think I should answer that.
- MS. McRAE: Well, without it being construed -- why don't you ask it the other way,

5 | did she accept it.

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

18

19

22

23

24

25

MR. DRESCHER: All right.

- Q. To your knowledge, did you or your husband accept that settlement proposal?
  - A. No.
- Q. And did you or your husband, to your knowledge, convey such a nonacceptance to Mr. Van Sickle?
- 13 A. Yes.
- Q. Where were you when you conveyed to him that nonacceptance?
- A. Well, one time I was in Dallas. I don't know what Richard did in Mexico.
  - Q. Okay. So Richard may or may not have conveyed his --
- 20 A. I don't know exactly what he said. I was 21 not in the room.
  - Q. I understand. It is your understanding, though, that Richard did convey at some point to Mr. Van Sickle that the number was not acceptable, correct?

- 1 A. I would assume so.
- Q. Okay. But you don't know for sure. I should ask him?
  - A. You should ask him.
- 5 Q. I'll ask him.

You are aware, though, that your husband called Mr. Van Sickle from Cozumel. Is that right?

- A. I never said that.
- Q. I thought you did. Did your husband call Mr. Van Sickle from Cozumel?
- 11 A. No.

4

6

7

8

9

- Q. At any time?
- 13 A. Not that I know of.
- 14 Q. Did you?
- 15 A. No.
- Q. Did your -- your seven or eight-day
  holiday included the Memorial Day weekend, did it?
- 18 A. Don't know.
- 19 Q. Don't have any recollection?
- 20 A. No.
- Q. Who was your travel agent?
- 22 A. Don't have one.
- Q. Made the arrangements yourself?
- 24 A. Yes.
- Q. And you don't recollect whether -- well,

- 1 I'll tell you, my calendar says that May 31, 1991
  2 was Friday. You don't have any recollection
  3 whether you included that long weekend in your
  4 Mexican holiday?
  - A. I don't know for sure.
    - Q. Do you think you maybe did?
    - A. I don't know. I mean, I could have left on Sunday, I could have left on Monday, I could have left on Friday. I don't really know.
    - Q. Do you have some sort of record which you could check to see the days you were actually in Mexico?
- 13 A. No.

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

18

- Q. Not that you're aware?
- 15 A. Not that I'm aware of.
- Q. You made the arrangements yourself, not your husband?
  - A. I don't remember if he did or I did.
- 19 Q. Either you or him, though?
- 20 A. Right.
- Q. I see. Do you remember how many weekends
  you were in Mexico that time?
  - A. No.
- Q. All right. So Mr. Van Sickle called and spoke to your husband while you were in Mexico.

- You and your husband spoke between you-all briefly,
  and I believe you said your husband may have called
  Mr. Van Sickle while you were still in Mexico,
  you're not sure, correct?
  - A. I did not say that.
    - Q. Do you know whether your husband -
      THE WITNESS: He's trying to make me
      say that. I didn't say that.
    - Q. Did your husband call Mr. Van Sickle while you were still in Mexico?
      - A. N-O, no, no, no, no.
    - Q. Thank you. Did your husband call Mr. Van Sickle on that subject after your return to Dallas?
      - A. I don't know.
  - Q. Did you?
- 17 A. Maybe.

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Q. Do you have any guess on how long it was between the time you first heard the settlement proposal and when you called Mr. Van Sickle?

MS. McRAE: Objection; she has testified time and time again that she did not call from Mexico and does not know if she called thereafter.

Q. Okay. Do you recall any more

```
1
     conversation -- telephone calls from Mr. Van Sickle
 2
     on the subject of the settlement proposal after the
 3
     first one in Mexico?
 4
          A.
               Yes.
 5
          0.
               In Mexico?
 6
          A.
               No.
 7
          Q.
               In Dallas?
 8
          A.
               Right.
 9
               And did he speak with you at that time?
          Q.
10
          A.
               The one that I recall, he did.
11
               What did he say during that call?
          Q.
12
          A.
               I'm not going to tell you.
13
                    MS. McRAE: Objection;
14
     attorney-client privilege. Instruct her not to
15
     answer.
16
               Just to finish that loop, what did you
17
     say to him during that call?
18
                    MS. McRAE: Objection;
19
     attorney-client privilege. Instruct her not to
20
     answer.
21
                    MR. DRESCHER: Is this one of the
22
     subject areas in which you'd be willing to reach a
23
     limited stipulation?
24
                    MS. McRAE: On what she -- the exact
```

conversations were during these settlement

| 1  | negotiations?                                      |  |  |
|----|--|--|--|
| 2  | MR. DRESCHER: Yes.                                 |  |  |
| 3  | MS. McRAE: Let me talk to her a                    |  |  |
| 4  | minute.  |  |  |
| 5  | (Off the record.)                                  |  |  |
| 6  | MS. McRAE: There's no agreements                   |  |  |
| 7  | on waiving any attorney-client privilege at this   |  |  |
| 8  | time.  |  |  |
| 9  | MR. DRESCHER: Okay.                                |  |  |
| 10 | Q. You already told me at some point in time       |  |  |
| 11 | either you or your husband conveyed your           |  |  |
| 12 | nonacceptance of that settlement proposal to Mr.   |  |  |
| 13 | Van Sickle, and I now have it straight that it was |  |  |
| 14 | from Dallas that you did so, correct?              |  |  |
| 15 | A. Are we talking about me or my husband?          |  |  |
| 16 | Q. You.  |  |  |
| 17 | A. Correct.  |  |  |
| 18 | Q. Your husband?                                   |  |  |
| 19 | A. I don't know. I told you I don't know           |  |  |
| 20 | what he said in Mexico. I wasn't there.            |  |  |
| 21 | Q. But it was only the one call in Mexico?         |  |  |
| 22 | A. As far as I know.                               |  |  |
| 23 | Q. Thank you.                                      |  |  |
| 24 | You don't have any recollection of that            |  |  |
| 25 | number?  |  |  |

1 A. No. 2 Does \$250,000 ring a bell? Q. 3 A. No. 4 Q. Did you personally discuss that 5 settlement proposal with Ms. McRae at any time? 6 Probably. A.

7

8

9

10

11

12

15

- Q. Not sure when?
- A. No. Not sure that I did.
- Q. Okay. How about, did you ever discuss that settlement with Mr. Yanny, you personally?
- A. No, I don't believe so. I don't think I did.
- Q. Did you ever discuss that settlement proposal with Mr. Greene?
  - A. I don't think so.
- Q. Did you ever discuss that settlement proposal with anyone else? I'm excluding your husband. Anyone else?
  - A. I don't think so.
- Q. So to the best of your recollection, your discussions of that particular settlement proposal were limited to Mr. Van Sickle, Ms. McRae and your husband?
- A. I'm not so sure about Karen, one way or the other, but the other two, yes.

- Q. Okay. Did your husband ever tell you
  whether he had discussed that settlement proposal
  with Ms. McRae?
  - A. I don't remember him saying anything like that.
  - Q. Do you recall whether your husband said he had discussed the settlement proposal with Mr. Yanny?
    - A. I don't remember him saying that.
  - Q. Do you recall whether your husband told you he discussed the settlement proposal with Mr. Greene?
    - A. I don't think he did.
  - Q. And you don't recall him telling you that he did?
    - A. No.

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

- Q. Did your husband ever tell you that he had discussed the proposal with anyone else?
- A. I don't believe so.
- Q. Did Mr. Van Sickle ever convey any terms or conditions riding with that settlement proposal to either you or your husband?
  - A. I don't recall.
- Q. Let's exclude your husband in this for a second. Did you authorize Mr. Van Sickle to convey

1 ever.

2

MS. McRAE: Okay.

3

MR. DRESCHER: No change, right?

4

MS. McRAE: No change.

5

MR. DRESCHER: Okay.

6

MR. DRESCHER: I'd like to mark as

7

Exhibit 1 to this deposition a document -- a copy

8

of a document denominated substitution of attorney,

9

and it bears a filed stamp July 1, 1991, from the

10

Clerk of the United States District Court for the

11

Central District of California in the case styled

12

Aznaran, et al, versus the Church of Scientology of

13

California, et al.

14

(Exhibit No. 1 marked.)

15

Okay. Ms. Aznaran, if you'd take Q.

That's correct.

16

a moment and look over the document that's been

17

marked as Exhibit 1. Have you seen it before, or a

18

copy of it?

19

Well, that's my signature on it.

20

Do you recognize your signature? Do you

21

see next to your signature, it's dated 6-11-91?

22

23

that what it says?

24

Do you have a recollection of signing Q.

25

this document on or about the 11th of June, 1991?

1 A. Not specifically, no. 2 Q. Do you have a recollection of signing 3 this document at any time? I'm sure I did. That's my signature. 4 A. 5 Do you recollect where you were when you 6 signed this document? 7 A. No. Were you in the United States? 8 Q. 9 A. Yes. 10 So you weren't in Mexico? 0. 11 A. No. 12 Do you have any reason to doubt that the Q. 13 date that's indicated, 6-11-91, is the date in 14 which you signed it? 15 A. No. It's your handwriting, the 6-11-91, isn't 16 0. it? 17 Looks like it. 18 A. 19 Do you recognize Mr. Greene's rather 20 distinctive signature there below yours? 21 Uh-huh (affirmative). A. 22 That looks to be his signature to you? Q. 23 A. Yes. 24 Do you see the date next to his name? 0.

25

A.

Yes.

- Q. 6-7-91. Did you fill that in or did
  someone else?
  - A. It's not my handwriting.
  - Q. Okay. This document came to you in the U.S. Mail; is that right?
    - A. I couldn't say.
  - Q. Do you recall receiving a copy of this document from Ford Greene with his signature on it, but not yours?
  - A. I would assume that's where this came from, because this date's first.
  - Q. But you don't have a present recollection?
  - A. No.

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

- Q. Well, you didn't send this document to Mr. Greene to get his signature, did you?
- A. I don't believe so.
  - Q. Did you tell anybody to just make up one of these documents and send it to Mr. Greene?
    - A. Maybe. I don't recall.
- Q. Okay. Now, when Ford Greene signed this document on or about June 7, 1991, did he do so at your request?
  - A. Mine and Richard's, I'm sure, yeah.
- 25 Q. Are you the one who communicated that

- 1 | request to Mr. Greene, or was it your husband?
  - A. It wasn't me.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

17

22

- Q. Did your husband ever tell you that he had communicated such a request to Mr. Greene?
  - A. Not that I recall.
- Q. Were you aware this document was coming your way at the time you received it?
- A. I don't recall.
  - Q. Was it a surprise for you to get it?
- A. I don't recall. I don't think so. I
  mean, I knew that Scientology had said if we'd fire
  Ford, they'd settle, so I assumed that all of this
  was things I expected to be happening.
- Q. How did you know that Scientology had said that?
- A. Barry told me.
  - Q. When did Barry tell you?
- 18 A. Don't know.
- Q. Was it within a couple of days of this, or what?
- 21 A. Probably.
  - Q. Okay. And where were you when Barry told you that?
- A. Well, the first time was in Mexico, when
  my husband relayed it to me.

MS. McRAE: I'm going to object, and
I will let her go ahead and finish answering, but
you're going into attorney-client privilege. I
don't mind her answering those questions, but it is
not to be construed as a general waiver, if you'll
agree to that.

MR. DRESCHER: I'll agree to that.

- Q. So it was your husband who told you that Scientology had said that they'd settle if you'd get rid of Ford Greene?
- A. That's when it was first relayed to me, I believe I said.
- Q. It was first relayed to you by your husband in Mexico?
- 15 A. Yes.

7

8

9

10

11

- Q. After his first call from Barry
  Van Sickle?
- 18 A. Right.
- Q. And your husband told you that Barry
  Van Sickle had told him that?
- 21 A. Right.
- Q. Did you ever have a conversation with
  Barry Van Sickle after that in which he repeated
  that statement?
- 25 A. Yes.

1 MS. McRAE: I've got the same --2 I've got the same objection, but I'm going to let 3 her answer -- and to all of this line of 4 questioning as to any substantive conversations. 5 MR. DRESCHER: All right. 6 MS. McRAE: If you'll agree that 7 that doesn't constitute a general waiver. 8 MR. DRESCHER: I'll agree that that 9 doesn't constitute a general waiver. 10 Let me ask you this, when your husband first related to you that Van Sickle had said such 11 12 condition was imposed on settlement negotiations 13 and -- what did you say? 14 I said if Barry was representing us, it 15 would probably be all right. 16 And what was it your husband said to you after that phone call with Van Sickle in Mexico in 17 18 which he told you that that was the condition Barry 19 had said had been imposed? What were Rick's words? 20 I don't remember his words. 21 That was the substance that he conveyed Q. 22 to you? 23 A. Right. 24 What did Rick say to you after you said 0.

to him that, if Barry would become our counsel, /

1 | that was okay?

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

- A. I believe he agreed.
  - Q. Did you ask Barry to become your counsel at that point?
  - A. My understanding was that that had already been worked out between Richard and Barry.
- Q. So in the telephone call in which

  Van Sickle conveyed the settlement proposal, it's

  your understanding he and Rick had already worked

  that out, that Barry would be your counsel of

  record?
- A. For the purposes of settlement, yeah.
- Q. Did you call Ford Greene from Mexico?
- 14 A. No.
- 15 O. Did Rick?
- 16 A. No, not that I know of.
- Q. Did you call Ford Greene from -- after your return to Dallas?
- 19 A. I don't believe so.
- Q. Did your husband call Ford Greene on your return from Dallas?
  - A. I don't know.
- Q. Did you ever have a communication with
  Ford Greene in June of 1991 in which you personally
  asked him to step down as your counsel of record?

| 1  |  |        | MS. McRAE: The answer is yes or          |  |  |
|----|--|--------|--|--|--|
| 2  | no.                                    |        | ,6                                       |  |  |
| 3  |  | A.     | I don't believe so.                      |  |  |
| 4  |  | Q.     | I didn't hear you.                       |  |  |
| 5  |  | A.     | I don't believe so.                      |  |  |
| 6  |  | Q.     | Okay.                                    |  |  |
| 7  |  | A.     | I don't remember one.                    |  |  |
| 8  |  | Q.     | To your knowledge, did your husband ever |  |  |
| 9  | have                                   | such   | a phone call with Mr. Greene?            |  |  |
| 10 |  | A.     | I have no idea.                          |  |  |
| 11 |  | Q.     | To your knowledge, did Van Sickle ever   |  |  |
| 12 | have                                   | such   | a phone call with Mr. Greene?            |  |  |
| 13 |  | A.     | I don't know.                            |  |  |
| 14 |  | Q.     | Was the document signed by Ford          |  |  |
| 15 | Green                                  | ne, Ex | xhibit 1, waiting for you when you got   |  |  |
| 16 | back                                   | from   | Mexico?                                  |  |  |
| 17 |  | A.     | I don't recall.                          |  |  |
| 18 |  | Q.     | Do you recall whether you're the one who |  |  |
| 19 | opened the envelope that this came in? |        |  |  |  |
| 20 |  | A.     | No, I don't.                             |  |  |
| 21 |  | Q.     | Do you recall whether it was faxed to    |  |  |
| 22 | you?                                   |        |  |  |  |
| 23 |  | A.     | May have been.                           |  |  |
| 24 |  | Q.     | Do you recall whether it was Federal     |  |  |
| 25 | Expre                                  | essed  | or otherwise overnight couriered to you? |  |  |

- 1 A. I don't know.
  - Q. Do you have any records that you could check to find out?
    - A. No.

3

4

5

6

7

8

- Q. Had you conveyed a counterproposal to Barry Van Sickle before you signed this document, Exhibit 1?
- A. I believe my husband did.
  - Q. And you believe he did so by telephone?
- 10 A. Right.
- 11 Q. From Dallas?
- 12 A. From Mexico.
- Q. Okay. How many phone calls total do you believe your husband made or had with Mr.
- 15 | Van Sickle while he was in Mexico?
- 16 A. One.
- 17 Q. So in the initial phone call?
- 18 A. Right.
- Q. Did your husband discuss the matter of the settlement proposal with you while Mr.
- 21 | Van Sickle was still on the phone?
- 22 A. I don't think so.
- Q. So it's your recollection that your husband conveyed the counter proposal to Mr.
- 25 | Van Sickle before he discussed the matter with you.

1 | Is that right?

3

4

5

18

19

23

- 2 A. I believe so, yeah.
  - Q. And what was -- what were the terms of the counterproposal made by your husband to Mr. Van Sickle.
- MS. McRAE: I'm going to object to

  it as to attorney-client privilege. I really don't

  know what the answer is. You can ask her if one

  was conveyed to the defendants.
- MR. DRESCHER: Well --
- 11 THE WITNESS: He already asked that 12 and I answered.
- MR. DRESCHER: I asked that and she said no. She didn't answer it. She said it was conveyed to Van Sickle.
- MS. McRAE: It was through the lawyer, apparently.
  - Q. That's all you know about how it was conveyed, correct?
- 20 A. Right.
- Q. You have no idea when or if Van Sickle conveyed it to the defendants?
  - A. He said he did.
    - Q. He said he did. When did he say that?
- 25 A. Don't know the date.

1 But neither you or your husband heard Q. 2 back from Van Sickle until-you returned to Dallas, 3 right? 4 No, I didn't. You're not sure about Richard? 5 Q. 6 A. I don't think so. 7 Q. You don't think he heard back from Barry 8 while you were in Mexico? 9 A. I don't think so. 10 Q. You left Mexico the same day you got the 11 phone call, didn't you? 12 A. No. 13 Q. You're certain of that? 14 A. Yeah. 15 Okay. Did you leave Mexico the day after Q. 16 you got the phone call from Van Sickle? 17 A. Don't know. You have no idea? 18 Q. 19 No idea. A. 20 But you're certain it wasn't the day of? Q. 21 A. Right. 22 Could have been the next day? 0. 23 Possible. A. 24 Q. Could have been after that? 25 Α. Possible.

1 Q. What airline did you fly? I don't recall. 2 A. 3 Did you fly? Q. 4 A. Yes. 5 Q. Don't remember the hotel? 6 No. A. 7 It was a hotel? 0. 8 A. Right. 9 MR. BERRY: Objection; asked and 10 answered. 11 Did you rent a car while you were in Q. Mexico? 12 13 A. No. Did you call Ford Greene while you were 14 15 in Mexico, you personally? 16 A. No. 17 Q. To your knowledge, did your husband? 18 Not that I know of. A. 19 Did Van Sickle tell you that he had Q. 20 called Ford Greene while you were in Mexico? 21 Not that I recall. 22 Did Rick ever tell you that Barry had 23 told Rick that Barry called Ford Greene while you 24 were in Mexico? 25 I don't recall. A.

1 MR. DRESCHER: Okay. I'd like to 2 have marked as Exhibit 2 a document denominated a 3 declaration of Vicki J. Aznaran in what we call the 4 Aznaran case. We'll verify this with the -- with 5 the witness, but it shows an execution date of July 6 1, 1991. 7 I'd like it marked as Exhibit 2, please. 8 (Exhibit No. 2 marked.) 9 MS. McRAE: Was this filed in the 10 Aznaran case? 11 MR. DRESCHER: I can represent that 12 it was served upon us as part of a series of 13 It was part of a series of motions. 14 THE WITNESS: By who? 15 MR. DRESCHER: By Mr. Yanny. And 16 was part of a set of exhibits that Mr. Parker, Mr. 17 Yanny's lawyer, used in the Van Sickle declaration 18 in this case. That's why it doesn't bear its own 19 filed stamp. It was part of exhibits to a motion 20 -- part of a set of exhibits to a motion. 21 If I could ask you to take a look at what's numbered page 12, starts at page 10. Does 22 23 that look like your signature to you? 24 A. Yeah.

Okay. Did you write in the word 1st in

25

0.

- 1 | front of day in the preceding line?
- 2 A. Looks like it, yeah.
  - Q. Do you recollect sign the declaration in on or about the 1st of July, 1991?
    - A. Speaks for itself.
    - Q. Okay. Did you execute a declaration on or around the 1st of July 1991?
  - A. Are you asking if I remember specifically?
- 10 Q. Yes.
- 11 A. No.

4

5

6

7

8

9

16

- Q. Let me ask you to look, then, at that
  declaration, the three-page declaration marked as
  Exhibit 2, and see if you recognize it.
- 15 A. Okay.
  - Q. Do you recollect signing such a declaration last summer?
- 18 A. Yes.
- 19 Q. You did so at Mr. Yanny's request?
- 20 A. Probably.
- Q. He prepared it for you?
- 22 A. I don't remember.
- Q. You don't remember preparing it yourself?
- 24 A. No.
- Q. Do you remember anyone else preparing it

1 | for you?

6

7

8

9

10

19

- A. Well, somebody in his office could have.
- 3 I don't remember being told that he did it.
- Q. But it came from Mr. Yanny's office, did

  it?
  - A. I believe it probably did.
    - Q. And have you now read it as we sit here?
  - A. Right.
    - Q. Is everything there true and correct, to the best of your knowledge?
- 11 A. As far as I know.
- Q. Let me ask you this, then. It says
  within the last two weeks -- paragraph 3 I'm
  reading from. Within the last two weeks I have had
  a series of conversations with Barry Van Sickle
  concerning one John J. Quinn who is an attorney for
  defendant Scientology. Do you see where it says
  that in paragraph 3?
  - A. That's correct, uh-huh (affirmative).
  - Q. Is that a yes?
- 21 A. Yes.
- Q. Okay. Now, paragraph 4, it says,

  Van Sickle called me and initially indicated that

  Scientology, through Mr. Quinn, wanted to settle,

- above-entitled action against Scientology. It's actually called, first, your husband, right?
  - A. Well, we didn't -- obviously didn't line out every phone call and who it went to.
  - Q. I see, but the first call was to your husband?
  - A. Yes, the first call was to my husband. I can't specify about his phone calls.
  - Q. Of course not. But you can testify about the first call you had with Mr. Van Sickle on that subject, can't you?
  - A. Maybe.

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

- Q. When was that?
- 14 A. I don't know.
- Q. It was after you got back from Dallas, right?
- 17 A. You mean from Mexico?
- 18 Q. I mean from Mexico to Dallas.
- 19 A. Right.
- Q. But it was in the time frame that you've indicated?
- 22 A. I don't know. I guess. I mean, I don't 23 recall, as I sit here now.
- Q. But when you signed this, you were confident of the time frame, right?

1 A Right.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

- Q. Okay. If you look at paragraph 5, it says that, Mr. Van Sickle indicated that Quinn stated the only requirement for settlement talks was that they did not want to negotiate or deal with my then attorney, Ford Greene. That's what it says, right?
  - A. Right.
  - Q. When did Barry tell you that?
  - A. My husband told me that first.
- Q. Did Barry ever tell you that directly?
- 12 A. Yes.
- Q. When was that?
- 14 A. In Dallas.
- Q. After you got back from Cozumel?
- A. Right.
- Q. And then you say in paragraph 6 that,

  Mr. Van Sickle indicated that he would negotiate -
  that Quinn had stated that he would negotiate with

  Barry if he was in a position to a settlement for

  the Aznarans. Do you recall Barry telling you

  that?
- 23 A. Yes.
- Q. That same phone call that you had with Barry after you returned to Dallas?

- 1 A. Probably.
- Q. Do you see paragraph 8, that subsequent
  to your conversation with Mr. Van Sickle, Mr.
  Greene was released from his present duty to
- 5 represent me, and I authorized Mr. Van Sickle to 6 negotiate for me with Scientology? That's correct?
- 7 A. Right.

9

10

11

12

18

- Q. After you got back from Dallas, to Dallas from Mexico, right?
  - A. Right.
- Q. And that's after your first telephone conversation with Van Sickle, right?
- A. Well, you've got to understand that my husband authorized him to earlier.
- Q. I understand. This is with respect to you.
- 17 A. On behalf of me.
  - Q. I can talk to your husband about his own identical declaration later on.
- 20 A. Okay.
- Q. But I'm asking your about yours right now.
- MS. McRAE: I think she's saying
  ti's a general statement, being hers and Richard's.
- Q. Well, let's look at paragraph 8. It

- 1 | says, subsequent to my conversation with Mr.
- 2 | Van Sickle, Mr. Greene was released from his
- 3 | present duty to represent me, and I authorized Mr.
- 4 | Van Sickle to negotiate for me with Scientology.
- 5 | That's not true?

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

- MS. McRAE: I think that she's already testified that she was obviously authorized to negotiate through his conversation with Richard.
  - MR. DRESCHER: Yes, I got that loud and clear, but and --
    - A. Then subsequently I authorized him.
  - Q. So it was after Richard had already authorized him to, is that right, that you authorized Barry Van Sickle to do --
    - A. That's right.
  - Q. Good. In paragraph 9 where you say that,
    I am informed that Mr. Van Sickle delivered my
    request for further negotiations with Mr. Quinn,
    who were you informed about?
- A. Barry.
  - Q. That was in a later conversation with Barry, later in time than the one in which you authorized him to negotiate with --
    - A. I would assume so.
- Q. You don't know as you sit here?

A. No.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

17

- Q. Okay. Barry did tell you that at some point, though, didn't he, that he delivered your request for further negotiations to Mr. Quinn?
  - A. I believe I already testified to that.
  - Q. All right.
- A. Yes.
- Q. Paragraph 11 says that, within days of my release of Mr. Greene as counsel and Scientology's withdrawn offer, the Scientology defendants filed a motion for summary judgment, scheduled to come on for hearing in this court on July 22, 1991. That's correct, isn't it?
  - A. I don't know.
  - Q. You don't know as you sit here today?
- 16 A. No.
  - Q. You thought it was correct at the time you signed this, though, didn't you?
- 19 A. Obviously.
- Q. Or you wouldn't have signed it, would you?
- 22 A. Right.
- Q. So that's the sequence of events, as you recollected them, back on the 1st of July, 1991, isn't it?

- 1 A. Probably so. 2 Q. Wasn't it, then, when you signed it under 3 oath, that it was? 4 A. Wasn't what what? 5 Q. Was the correct sequence of events as you 6 laid them out in --7 A. I don't understand the question. 8 MR. BERRY: Objection; counsel is 9 badgering the witness. 10 MR. DRESCHER: I'm not badgering; 11 I'm cross-examining. 12 THE WITNESS: He's trying. 13 So let me ask you this --Q. 14 MS. McRAE: She's already testified this was her best recollection, and that's the way 15 16 she recalled it at the time she signed it, signed 17 under penalties of perjury. If you're going to 18 get argumentative about it in the way she's 19 testified, then I'm just going to have to --20 MR. DRESCHER: I'm not getting 21 argumentative. I haven't even raised my voice. MS. McRAE: You don't have to, to be 22 23 argumentative.
  - Q. Let me ask you this, is the sequence in which you set forth the facts in paragraphs 4

- 1 through 11 -- excuse me, 3 through 11, 3 through 11 2 of Exhibit 2, the sequence of events that was your best recollection of what happened back when you 3 4 signed this declaration? 5 MR. BERRY: Objection; asked and 6 answered. 7 MR. DRESCHER: It wasn't answered; 8 it was asked. 9 Is this my best recollection? 10 No. Was it at the time you executed this 0. 11 declaration? 12 I would assume so, or I wouldn't have signed it. 13 14 That's okay. Thank you. Q. 15 Then you would agree there are seven days 16 in a week, right? 17 A. Right. MS. McRAE: That's argumentative for 18 19 sure. 20 MR. DRESCHER: That's correct. I'll 21 withdraw that one. Do you recall submitting a declaration 22 23 under penalty of perjury? 24 A. I need to go eat.
  - STANLEY, HARRIS, RICE 214-720-4567

I tell you what. Let me take five more

25

O.

- minutes and you are on your way.
  - A. Okay.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

- Q. Do you recall signing -- I said submitted before. Forget that. Do you recall signing any other declarations in July of 1991 in which you discussed your termination of the services of Ford Greene?
  - A. Seems like I signed another one.
- Q. Okay. Let's mark as Exhibit 3 declaration of Vicki J. Aznaran regarding association of John Clifton Elstead as trial counsel.
- MS. McRAE: Where did you get that?

  MR. DRESCHER: Same place as the

  other. It was served upon us in the Aznaran case

  out of Mr. Greene's office, and it's executed under

  the penalty of perjury on the 31st day of July on

  1991, by Ms. Aznaran, and ask that that be marked

  as Exhibit 3, and ask you to take --
  - A. Five minutes, right?
- Q. Five minutes. That's all I want to on this one. It's a short one.
- MR. BERRY: Are we saying five
  minutes on this exhibit or five minutes to finish
  the depo?

| 1  | MR. DRESCHER: No. Five minutes on                   |
|----|---|
| 2  | this one, and we'll take a break and come back.     |
| 3  | MR. BERRY: I had the impression                     |
| 4  | that you were going to be finished.                 |
| 5  | (Exhibit No. 3 marked.)                             |
| 6  | MR. DRESCHER: There's your own copy                 |
| 7  | right there.  |
| 8  | Q. You can use the original, Ms. Aznaran.           |
| 9  | A. Okay.  |
| 10 | Q. Okay. Do you recall executing the                |
| 11 | declaration of this form on or about the 31st day   |
| 12 | of July, 1991?                                      |
| 13 | A. That's my signature. I don't                     |
| 14 | specifically recall it.                             |
| 15 | Q. Okay. You recall, however, signing some          |
| 16 | other declaration around that time, didn't you?     |
| 17 | A. Right.   |
| 18 | Q. Okay. At the time you signed that                |
| 19 | declaration, you recall you assumed it was true and |
| 20 | correct, didn't you?                                |
| 21 | A. Yes.   |
| 22 | Q. The statements in here, to the extent            |
| 23 | they're there, they're true and correct, aren't     |
| 24 | they?   |
|    |   |

A. As far as I know.

- Q. As far as you know?
- 2 A. Right.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

- Q. If you look at paragraph 4, page 2 of that declaration, it says, previously I was sufficiently concerned about Mr. Greene's ability to handle and maintain the trial of my case, that I replaced him with myself in pro per and then substituted in Joseph Yanny. Now that experienced trial counsel has been retained, I do not foresee any further changes in my representation. That's correct, right, when stated?
  - A. Right.
  - Q. And true when stated?
- 14 A. Right.
  - Q. And the experienced trial counsel referred to in the fourth line of paragraph 4 is Mr. Elstead?
    - A. Right.
    - Q. Didn't make any mention in this about any reason to fire Mr. Greene, other than that that's in paragraph 3, did you?
  - A. Did I make any --
- MS. McRAE: Objection; the document speaks for itself.
- Q. Okay. In paragraph 3 it says, I do

1 not seek Mr. Elstead's association for the purposes 2 of delaying the trial date set for October 15, 3 The reason I desire the association is because I believe that Mr. Elstead has the skill, 5 resources, support and ability to properly present 6 my case. Is that the reason that you associated 7 Mr. Elstead in at that time? 8 MS. McRAE: I'm going to object to 9 her elaborating any further on this. It's 10 attorney-client privilege. 11 MR. DRESCHER: I'm not asking her to 12 elaborate on it. I'm just asking -- maybe I'll 13 rephrase the question. 14 Do you see what you said in paragraph 3 15 of that document, Ms. Aznaran? 16 Yes. A. 17 0. Is that the only reason that you sought 18 Mr. Elstead's association at that time? 19 I couldn't answer that without going into A. 20 privileged information. 21 MS. McRAE: I instruct you not to 22 answer. 23 MR. DRESCHER: On the basis that she 24 stated?

25

Yes.

MS. MCRAE:

| 1  | Q. Okay. But there is no reference to Mr.           |
|----|---|
| 2  | Quinn in this declaration, is there?                |
| 3  | MS. McRAE: Objection                                |
| 4  | MR. BERRY: The document speaks for                  |
| 5  | itself.   |
| 6  | MS. McRAE: asked and answered.                      |
| 7  | The document speaks for itself. You're taking       |
| 8  | longer than five minutes.                           |
| 9  | MR. DRESCHER: No, I'm not. I'm                      |
| 10 | only about 4 and 45 seconds, actually.              |
| 11 | Q. Why not, Ms. Aznaran?                            |
| 12 | MS. McRAE: Objection.                               |
| 13 | A. Why not what?                                    |
| 14 | Q. Why not  |
| 15 | A. Why not go to lunch?                             |
| 16 | Q. No. Why didn't you make reference to any         |
| 17 | reason for your displeasure with Mr. Greene and     |
| 18 | your reasons for firing Mr. Greene, other than that |
| 19 | stated in Exhibit 3?                                |
| 20 | MS. McRAE: Objection                                |
| 21 | MR. BERRY: Objection; asked and                     |
| 22 | answered, assumes facts not in evidence.            |
| 23 | A. I can't get into that without telling            |
| 24 | what my attorney advised me to do when I signed it. |
| 25 | Q. Who was your attorney who advised you to         |

1 sign this? MR. BERRY: Objection; the record 2 3 speaks for itself. MR. DRESCHER: No, it doesn't. 4 5 0. Who was your attorney who advised you to 6 sign it? 7 Elstead. A. 8 MR. DRESCHER: Okay. Let's break 9 for lunch. 10 (Noon recess.) 11 MR. DRESCHER: Let's mark as 12 Exhibit 4 a document, a form called substitution of 13 attorney in the Aznaran case, as we've called it, 14 with signatures that purport to be both the 15 witness' and that of Mr. Yanny. Take a moment and 16 mark that. 17 (Exhibit No. 4 marked.) 18 Ms. Aznaran, if you will just take a 0. 19 moment and look over this, it's actually a three-page document, the second of which is service 20 21 oriented. It's just the first page, is all I'm 22 interested in. 23 (Off the record.) 24 0. Ms. Aznaran, have you had a chance to

look over the first page of Exhibit 4?

- 1 A. Yes. 2 Okay. Do you recognize it as a document Q. 3 you executed near the end of June 1991? 4 A. Yes. In fact, next to the date June 27, 1991 5 6 is -- the second time it appears, is that your 7 signature? 8 A. Yes. And, indeed, is that your signature next 9 to that date the first time that it appears? 10 11 A. I believe so, yes. 12 Do you have a recollection of signing 13 such a substitution of attorney to substitute in 14 Joe Yanny as your lawyer in place of yourself, pro 15 per? 16 A. Yes, sir. 17 Do you recognize Mr. Yanny's rather 0. distinctive scrawl? 18 Yes, I recognize his signature. 19 A. 20 0. His date is the next date, June 28, 1991? 21 A. Right.
- Q. Its says 28-6-91. Does that indicate
- 23 June 28 to you?
- A. Uh-huh (affirmative). Yes.
- 25 Q. Thank you.

- If you look at the first page of Exhibit

  4, right about the middle, in fact, between the two

  June 27th dates, it says, I have given proper

  notice pursuant to Local Rule 2.8 and further

  consent to the above substitution. Do you know

  what Local Rule 2.8 is?
  - A. No.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

- Q. Did you know what Local Rule 2.8 was at the time that you signed it?
- 10 A. I don't know.
- Q. You don't know whether you knew what it was or not?
- 13 A. I don't remember it.
- Q. Mr. Yanny did, in fact, become your counsel of record, did he not, after June 28th, 16 1991 in the Aznaran case?
- 17 A. After I signed this, right.
- Q. For the period of at least a few weeks, right?
- 20 A. Yes.
- Q. Back to June of 1991, Ms. Aznaran, did
  you have any idea how many lawyers there were in
  Los Angeles County?
- 24 A. Sorry?
- Q. Back in June of 1991, did you have any

idea of how many lawyers there were in Los Angeles
County, California?

A. No.

MS. McRAE: I'm going to object to you wasting time argumentatively, Bill. That is nothing but pure argumentative and a comment on the record, and you surely can't mean for her to seriously answer that.

MR. DRESCHER: Well, I just wondered if she had any idea. She said no.

- Q. Let me ask you this, between the time that you signed the substitution of attorney for Ford Greene out and yourself in, June 11, 1991, and the time that you signed Exhibit 4, the substitution of Joe Yanny in and you, as pro per, out, in the Aznaran case, did you make any call to the Los Angeles County Bar Association for a lawyer referral?
- A. I was relying on Barry's word that he would come in and help us.
- Q. I understand that. I just want to know if you made --
- A. No, you don't understand, because you keep thinking I should have been calling hundreds of lawyers or something. That's what you're

- 1 driving at.
- Q. I only asked if you called the Los
  Angeles County Bar Association.
  - A. No.

- Q. Any referral service for lawyers in Los Angeles?
- A. No. Listen, I'm going to leave if this is all we're going to talk about. This is a joke.

  I'll just go.
- MS. McRAE: If you really want to ask serious questions, go ahead, but we're not going to take days and days and days. You've already been extremely abusive --
- THE WITNESS: Making up, who did call this, did I call this, did I call Santa Claus. I mean, come on.
- MS. McRAE: Because of you-all's prior abusive behavior, I'm not going to take any of it in this deposition.
- MR. DRESCHER: I'm real sorry that both of you are offended by it. I've only got to go by what Mr. Berry has put in his amended, answer so any ire on the subject ought to be directed at him for making the assertions in the affirmative defense.

```
1
                    THE WITNESS: Let's just go.
 2
                    MR. BERRY: We made no allegations
 3
     that she should have called every attorney in LA
 4
     County.
 5
                    MR. DRESCHER: All right.
                    THE WITNESS: This is silly.
 6
                                                  How
 7
    many lawyers in LA? Come on.
 8
               Let me ask you this, then --
          0.
 9
                    MS. McRAE: If you're going to
10
     do serious discovery, go ahead. If not, then we're
11
     going.
12
                    MR. DRESCHER: I assure you I'm
13
     doing serious discovery.
14
                    THE WITNESS: It's not; it's silly.
15
                    MS. McRAE: It's not, in my opinion,
16
     and I am not going to tolerate you-all taking days
17
     and days and days of depositions in every case, and
18
     to sit here and waste my time and my client's
19
     time on how many lawyers are in LA, we're not going
20
    to do it, so you've got one more chance, and then I
21
    will walk out.
                    MR. BERRY: I think she's testified
22
23
    that she relied on the representations of
24
    Van Sickle, that he would recommend one.
25
                    MR. DRESCHER: Yes.
                                         And I'm about
```

- to move on, but I've been listening to this
  colloquy.
  - Q. You came here by virtue of a subpoena, did you not, Ms. Aznaran?
    - A. Correct.
  - Q. There was a document request attached to that subpoena, was there not?
    - A. Yes.
  - Q. Did you search to see whether you had any documents responsive to that request?
    - A. Yes.
    - Q. Do you have any documents?
- 13 A. No.

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

- Q. Are you producing any documents?
- 15 A. No.
- Q. Prior to today's deposition -- and I am excluding Ms. McRae from this question -- did you discuss this deposition with anyone besides Ms.
- 19 McRae and your husband?
- 20 A. No.
- Q. Did you review any documents in preparation for today's deposition?
- 23 A. No.
- Q. Had you met Mr. Berry before Ms. McRae introduced him to you here this morning?

1 A. No. 2 Had you ever spoken to him by phone? Q. 3 A. No. 4 Did you ever speak to anyone associated 0. 5 with Mr. Berry's law firm before today? 6 A. No. 7 Did you have occasion to discuss today's Q. 8 deposition with Ms. Plevin before you came here 9 today? 10 A. No. 11 With Mr. Yanny? Q. 12 A. No. 13 Did you ever discuss with Mr. Yanny the 14 possibility of Mr. Elstead representing you in the 15 Aznaran case? 16 MR. BERRY: Object to vague as to the time. 17 18 MS. McRAE: Already been asked and 19 answered. 20 MR. DRESCHER: I don't believe so, 21 and I object, but I'll frame it up. 22 At any time after June 1st, 1991, did you 23 discuss with Mr. Yanny the possibility of Mr. Elstead coming into this case, the Aznaran case, as 24

your counsel of record?

|    | VICKI AZNAKAN 4-14-92 142                          |  |  |  |
|----|--|--|--|--|
| 1  | A. Yes.  |  |  |  |
| 2  | Q. On how many occasions?                          |  |  |  |
| 3  | A. One.  |  |  |  |
| 4  | Q. When was that, approximately?                   |  |  |  |
| 5  | A. Sometime after Joe was representing us.         |  |  |  |
| 6  | Q. And before Mr. Elstead did, correct?            |  |  |  |
| 7  | A. Right.  |  |  |  |
| 8  | Q. Was that telephonic communication?              |  |  |  |
| 9  | A. Yes.  |  |  |  |
| 10 | Q. Who placed the call? Do you recollect?          |  |  |  |
| 11 | A. No.   |  |  |  |
| 12 | Q. Was anyone on the phone besides you and         |  |  |  |
| 13 | Joe, to your knowledge?                            |  |  |  |
| 14 | A. I don't know. Maybe Richard.                    |  |  |  |
| 15 | Q. And was the only subject matter discussed       |  |  |  |
| 16 | in that call whether Mr. Elstead would become your |  |  |  |
| 17 | counsel of record?                                 |  |  |  |
| 18 | A. I don't remember.                               |  |  |  |
| 19 | Q. Was that after Mr. Yanny was ordered out        |  |  |  |
| 20 | by Judge Ideman?                                   |  |  |  |
| 21 | A. Before.   |  |  |  |
| 22 | Q. Did you enter into any fee agreement            |  |  |  |
| 23 | with Mr. Yanny with respect to the Aznaran case?   |  |  |  |
| 24 | A. It's privileged.                                |  |  |  |
| 25 | MS. McRAE: I'm going to object; 1/                 |  |  |  |

4

5

6

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

- 1 attorney-client privilege, and instruct her not to
  2 answer.
  - MR. DRESCHER: I'm going to ask the next question, and then we'll move on.
  - Q. What are the terms of that agreement?

    MS. McRAE: Objection;

7 attorney-client privilege. Instruct her not to 8 answer.

- Q. Has Mr. Yanny received any sort of money or other consideration for the period in which he represented you in the Aznaran case?
  - A. No.
- Q. Approximately how many times have you been in communication, you personally, been in communication with Mr. Yanny between July 24, 1991 and today? I'll give you, July 24 is the date in which Judge Ideman issued the order that Mr. Yanny come out of the Aznaran case and Mr. Greene be substituted back in.
  - A. Four or five, maybe.
  - Q All by telephone?
- A. Yeah.
  - Q. When was the most recent?
- 24 A. A month or two ago.
- Q. Nothing from the last month or two? I'

1 | didn't hear you.

2

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

- A. I said a month or two ago.
- 3 Q. And that was the most recent?
  - A. As far as I remember, yeah.
  - Q. Have you at any time had any communication with Mr. Yanny concerning the scheduling of your depositions in this case, yours and your husband's?

MS. McRAE: Objection as to attorney-client privilege --

MR. BERRY: Objection.

MS. McRAE: -- and any

13 communication, specific communications.

MR. DRESCHER: Well I'm just asking whether. I'm not --

MR. BERRY: I'd object on that Mr. Yanny was attorney for this client and has a continuing obligation to her as a result thereof.

MR. DRESCHER: I understand all that, but my question was whether Ms. Aznaran has had any communication with Mr. Yanny about the scheduling of her deposition in this case.

- A. I don't recall anything.
- Q. Do you know whether your husband had any such communication concerning his deposition?

- 1 Not that I know of. A. 2 Have you sought any legal advice 3 whatsoever from Joe Yanny since he was removed by 4 Judge Ideman from representing you in that case? 5 I don't recall any. 6 Since that time has Joe Yanny given you 7 any legal advice whatsoever? 8 A. Not that I know of. 9 MR. DRESCHER: Let me ask you this, 10 Ms. McRae, if I were to attempt to probe the content of the communication on those four or five 11 12 occasions that the witness has testified that 13 she communicated with Mr. Yanny since July of 1991, am I correct in assuming that you'd assert the 14 15 attorney-client privilege and instruct her not to 16 answer? 17 MS. MCRAE: That's correct. 18 MR. DRESCHER: All right. Then I think we can stipulate that, were I to ask that 19 20 question to those communications, that would be 21 your objection and instruction? 22 MS. McRAE: That's true.
- Q. Have you ever met Jerry Armstrong?
- 24 A. Yes.
- Q. More than one occasion?

- 1 A. No. 2 Q. When was the only time that you've ever 3 met him? 4 Golly, it's been a long time. A. 5 Were you both staff members of the church 6 when you met him? 7 A. No. 8 Q. Was it during the Yanny 1 trial? 9 A. I don't know. Maybe. 10 Where were you when you met him? Q. 11 A. At Joe's house. 12 Q. Have you spoken with Mr. Armstrong by 13 telephone on any occasion? 14 A. Yes. 15 Approximately how many times? Q. 16 Two or three. A. 17 Did your telephone calls with Mr. Q. 18 Armstrong precede or follow meeting at Mr. Yanny's 19 house? 20 A. Follow. 21 When was the most recent phone call that you had with Mr. Armstrong? 22
  - MS. McRAE: I object to this as going into discovery in something else. Maybe I'm missing something.

24

| 1   | MR. DRESCHER: Yes, I think maybe                   |  |  |  |  |  |
|-----|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| 2   | you are. We've got a two-count complaint here, and |  |  |  |  |  |
| 3   | one claims a breach of fiduciary duty with respect |  |  |  |  |  |
| 4   | to Ms. Aznaran and Mr. Aznaran and Yanny's         |  |  |  |  |  |
| 5   | representation of them. And the other concerns Mr. |  |  |  |  |  |
| 6   | Yanny's breach of fiduciary duty as alleged in the |  |  |  |  |  |
| 7   | second cause of action concerning the              |  |  |  |  |  |
| 8   | representation of Mr. Armstrong. I just wanted to  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 9   | ask a couple of preliminary questions about any    |  |  |  |  |  |
| LO  | communication within that relevant time frame.     |  |  |  |  |  |
| 11  | MR. BERRY: There's no allegation                   |  |  |  |  |  |
| 12  | that in the complaint at all about                 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 13  | communications between this witness and Jerry      |  |  |  |  |  |
| 14  | Armstrong.   |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1.5 | MR. DRESCHER: You're absolutely                    |  |  |  |  |  |
| 16  | right, but if you will stand by for one minute, I  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 17  | will be able to help you out.                      |  |  |  |  |  |
| 18  | Q. Have you talked with Mr. Armstrong within       |  |  |  |  |  |
| .9  | the last year?                                     |  |  |  |  |  |
| 0   | A. Yes.  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 21  | Q. Are both of your telephone conversations        |  |  |  |  |  |
| 22  | with Mr. Armstrong within the last year?           |  |  |  |  |  |
| 3   | A. Yes.  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 4   | Q. But your meeting with him was not within        |  |  |  |  |  |
| 25  | the last year; is that correct?                    |  |  |  |  |  |

- A. Right.
- Q. Are you aware that Mr. Yanny hired Mr. Armstrong to be a paralegal to work for Mr. Yanny on your case while Mr. Yanny was your counsel of record?

MR. BERRY: Objection; misstates the previous evidence.

- A. No. I thought Ford had hired him.
- Q. No one ever told you that Mr. Yanny had hired Armstrong as a paralegal for your case?
  - A. I don't recall that.

MR. BERRY: Objection; assumes facts not in evidence.

MR. DRESCHER: She said no.

- Q. Are you aware of whether Mr. Armstrong did any work for Mr. Yanny with respect to your case while Mr. Yanny was your counsel of record?
- A. I don't know. He might have. I don't know how he went from -- if he went from Yanny to Ford, but at some point he ended up working for Ford on my case, and I don't know exactly if he worked for Yanny some before that or not. I really don't have any information about that.
- Q. Do you know whether Mr. Armstrong is employed as a paralegal at this moment?

1 No, I don't know. A. 2 Did anyone inform you that Mr. Armstrong Q. 3 was working as a paralegal for Mr. Elstead? 4 I don't recall that. 5 MR. BERRY: Objection; assumes facts 6 not in evidence. 7 MR. DRESCHER: I'm just asking her 8 the question. 9 Q. I didn't hear your answer. 10 I don't recall anyone telling me that. A. 11 Q. To your knowledge, is he? 12 A. Not that I know of. 13 Did either of your telephone Q. 14 conversations with Mr. Armstrong occur while he was 15 working as a paralegal for Mr. Greene on your case? 16 I'm not sure what this -- when he was and 17 wasn't working as a paralegal. 18 When, to the best of your recollection, Q. 19 was your most recent telephone conversation with 20 Mr. Armstrong? 21 A couple, three months ago, maybe. A. 22 Did you call him or did he call you? 0. 23 A. He called me. 24 Q. Was anyone else on the phone, to your

knowledge, besides you and Mr. Armstrong?

- 1 Not that I know of. A. 2 How long a conversation was it? 0. 3 A. I don't know. I don't remember. 4 Q. Five minutes or less? 5 A. I couldn't say. 6 Was the subject of the Aznaran case 0. raised in that conversation? 7 8 MR. BERRY: Objection; relevancy to 9 this litigation. 10 MS. McRAE: We're not going to go 11 into discovery --12 THE WITNESS: Yeah, because this 13 might be privileged, because at different 14 times he's been a paralegal for Ford, and I don't 15 know when he was and wasn't. 16 MS. McRAE: If he's calling in 17 relation to her case, while under the direction of 18 one of her attorneys or had a continuing duty 19 thereunder, then I object as attorney-client 20 privilege, and instruct her not to answer. And I 21 am not going to sit through any discovery on the 22 Aznaran case. 23 MR. DRESCHER: I don't intend to sit 24 here and do any. I have limited enough time.
  - Q. My question was yes or no, was the

1 Aznaran case the subject of that more recent 2 conversation? 3 I'm not going to answer it. 4 MR. BERRY: Bill, you should be fair 5 to her and tell her that, as far as we all know, 6 he's still working for Ford Greene as a paralegal. 7 MR. DRESCHER: As far as we all know 8 from testimony he's given, he worked for John 9 Elstead. 10 MR. BERRY: That misstates his 11 testimony. 12 MR. DRESCHER: Not in this case, it 13 doesn't. 14 MR. BERRY: Yes, it does. MR. DRESCHER: 15 I'm telling you right now, he's testified in another case that 16 he's working for Elstead. 17 All I'm asking is yes or no, whether the 18 19 Aznaran case was the subject of the discussion in 20 the more recent of the conversations that she had 21 with Jerry Armstrong. 22 MS. McRAE: If you know, yes or no. 23 THE WITNESS: I'm not going to tell 24 him. 25 MR. DRESCHER: Are you going to

1 | instruct her?

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

MS. MCRAE: No

- Q. Are you going to refuse to answer that question?
  - A. Yes. I mean, you want to know the subject of the conversation with a guy that's been a paralegal for my attorney, and I am not going to tell you.
    - Q. I just wanted to know whether --
    - A. You asked what the subject was.
- Q. No. I asked you whether it was, yes or no. Are you going to refuse to answer?
  - A. Yes.
  - Q. In the earlier of the two telephone conversations that you testified to having with Jerry Armstrong, was the Aznaran case a subject of the conversation?
  - MS. McRAE: Same objection; attorney-client privilege. All of this time, if he's been a paralegal for one of her lawyers, then it's going to be a privileged conversation.
  - MR. DRESCHER: Only if it's about that case, and that's the question.
- Q. Was it about the Aznaran case?
- 25 A. What?

| 1  | Q. The earlier of the two telephone               |  |  |  |
|----|---|--|--|--|
| 2  | conversations that you had with Mr. Armstrong.    |  |  |  |
| 3  | A. Yes.   |  |  |  |
| 4  | Q. I'm going to make the record. What did         |  |  |  |
| 5  | you say to him and what did he say to you?        |  |  |  |
| 6  | MS. McRAE: Objection;                             |  |  |  |
| 7  | attorney-client privilege. Instruct her not to    |  |  |  |
| 8  | answer.   |  |  |  |
| 9  | Q. Have you paid any money to Jerry               |  |  |  |
| 10 | Armstrong for assisting you in the Aznaran case?  |  |  |  |
| 11 | A. No.  |  |  |  |
| 12 | Q. Have you paid Ford Greene any money for        |  |  |  |
| 13 | Jerry Armstrong's service in that regard?         |  |  |  |
| 14 | MR. BERRY: Objection; relevancy to                |  |  |  |
| 15 | this litigation. It has nothing to do with any of |  |  |  |
| 16 | the causes of actions.                            |  |  |  |
| 17 | MS. McRAE: Objection.                             |  |  |  |
| 18 | MR. DRESCHER: Graham, before you                  |  |  |  |
| 19 | came aboard there have been several rulings by    |  |  |  |
| 20 | Judge Ideman about continuing to taint. That's    |  |  |  |
| 21 | what it goes to.                                  |  |  |  |
| 22 | MR. BERRY: But we're talking about                |  |  |  |
| 23 | Yanny 2, not the Aznaran case.                    |  |  |  |
| 24 | MR. DRESCHER: I am talking about                  |  |  |  |
| 25 | Vanny 2   |  |  |  |

| 1  | MR. BERRY: Judge Ideman hasn't made                 |  |  |  |
|----|---|--|--|--|
| 2  | any rulings regarding the Yanny 2 litigation in the |  |  |  |
| 3  | state court case.                                   |  |  |  |
| 4  | MR. DRESCHER: That's right, but it                  |  |  |  |
| 5  | is an action that he took that is integrally        |  |  |  |
| 6  | involved with the question I asked as to this case  |  |  |  |
| 7  | and Mr. Yanny's breaches.                           |  |  |  |
| 8  | MR. BERRY: The nature.                              |  |  |  |
| 9  | Q. Do you have the question in mind?                |  |  |  |
| 10 | A. Oh, no.  |  |  |  |
| 11 | Q. I'm sorry, you don't?                            |  |  |  |
| 12 | A. No.  |  |  |  |
| 13 | MR. DRESCHER: Might we have that                    |  |  |  |
| 14 | read back.  |  |  |  |
| 15 | MS. McRAE: If you paid Ford                         |  |  |  |
| 16 | THE WITNESS: Let her read it back.                  |  |  |  |
| 17 | Q. Well, she's right. I'll rephrase it from         |  |  |  |
| 18 | the start. Have you ever paid any money to Ford     |  |  |  |
| 19 | Greene so he could pay Jerry Armstrong for his help |  |  |  |
| 20 | in the Aznaran case?                                |  |  |  |
| 21 | MR. BERRY: Same objection.                          |  |  |  |
| 22 | MS. McRAE: Objection as to                          |  |  |  |
| 23 | privilege. Instruct her not to answer.              |  |  |  |
| 24 | Q. Has Jerry Armstrong ever relayed any             |  |  |  |
| 25 | communications to you from Joe Yanny?               |  |  |  |

1 MR. BERRY: It's also objectionable 2 since Yanny was at one time her attorney. 3 MR. DRESCHER: It's a yes-no 4 question. It's a yes-no question. Fact of is not 5 privileged. MS. McRAE: I object as to it being 6 7 attorney-client privilege. Instruct her not to 8 answer. 9 Are you aware of any literary matters 10 that Mr. Armstrong is working on? 11 A. No. Do you know whether Mr. Armstrong has 12 13 retained the services of an attorney concerning any literary matters that he may be working on? 14 15 A. No. 16 Who was John Koresko? 0. He's a man that works for Joe Yanny. 17 A. Do you know in what capacity he works for 18 Q. 19 Mr. Yanny? 20 A. No. 21 0. Have you ever met him? 22 A. No. 23 Q. Have you ever talked with Mr. Koresko by 24 telephone? 25 I don't recall. A.

```
1
               Have you ever corresponded with Mr.
 2
     Koresko in writing?
 3
               I don't think so.
 4
          Q. When's the last time you were in
 5
     California?
 6
                    MR. BERRY: Objection; relevancy.
 7
               I believe it was during the trial, Joe's
          A.
 8
     trial.
 9
          O. Back in 1989?
10
         A. Whenever that was.
11
                    MR. DRESCHER: Was it in '89?
12
                    MS. MCRAE: '90.
13
                    MR. DRESCHER: Was it '90?
14
              Have you ever been to Ford Greene's
          0.
    office?
15
16
              Yes.
        A.
17
          Q. But before Joe's trial; is that correct?
            Yes.
18
         A.
19
          Q.
              You've never been to Ford's office after
20
     Joe's trial?
21
               I don't know. I don't remember exactly
22
    when the second time I went was.
23
          Q. Okay. Have you been at Ford Greene's
24
    office within the last year?
25
                   MR. BERRY: Asked and answered,
```

- 1 since she hasn't been in California. 2 MR. DRESCHER: She also said she 3 wasn't sure. I don't think so. A. 5 0. Were you there last summer at all? A. No. 7 MR. BERRY: Since the office is 8 under such heavy surveilance, you'd probably have 9 logs on that. 10 MR. DRESCHER: I'd love to know your 11 basis for that. 12 Let me ask you this, Ms. Aznaran, have 13 you ever been informed that Mr. Koresko was present 14 at Mr. Greene's office after July 24, 1991? 15 A. I don't recall that. 16 Did you ever discuss with anyone the 17 matter of the transfer of the Aznaran case files 18 from Yanny's office to Ford Greene's office? 19 MS. McRAE: Objection,
  - attorney-client privilege. Instruct her not to answer.
  - Q. If you would give me about five minutes,

    I want to just see what I've covered and not

    covered, and perhaps we can wrap this up pretty

    quickly, if I have just a few minutes to go over my

21

22

23

24

1 notes. 2 THE WITNESS: Okay. 3 MR. DRESCHER: Thanks. 4 (Recess taken.) 5 Ms. Aznaran, you're still employed by Q. 6 Phoenix Investigations; is that right? 7 A. Right. 8 And you also -- you and your husband also Q. 9 have a business called Spy Supply; is that right? 10 A. Right. 11 Q. That's a retail store? 12 A. Right. 13 More than one location? Q. 14 A. Two. 15 Q. Does Phoenix have more than one location? 16 A. No. 17 And I am not asking for any identities or Q. 18 anything. I just want to know, you have employees 19 at Phoenix Investigations, don't you? 20 A. Right. 21 MR. BERRY: Objection; relevancy. 22 MS. McRAE: Really. I mean, sounds 23 like you-all trying to get some discovery for some 24 fair game, or whatever. I realize you-all know a

25

lot already.

- 1 THE WITNESS: They've got that old stringy-haired PI that they're going to send 2 3 around. You have employees of Spy Supply, don't 4 Q. 5 you? 6 Yes. A. 7 Do you ever hear your husband bragging Q. 8 about a safe that you have in your home? 9 A. No. 10 MS. McRAE: I object to --11 MR. DRESCHER: She answered no. 12 THE WITNESS: They want to know 13 about the gold bars. 14 Q. That's my next question. Do you have any gold bars in you possession? 15 No. But your PIs sure do think I do. 16 A. They've been asking all my clients about that. 17 18 Q. Have they? Yes. And my friends and my family. 19 A. Have they been asking about ivory? 20 Q.
  - Q. Do you have any ivory?

No.

24 A. Yes.

A.

21

22

23

Q. You do. Where did you get it?

guess that's what they're doing now.

I haven't heard about that yet.

1 THE WITNESS: They've got that old 2 stringy-haired PI that they're going to send 3 around. You have employees of Spy Supply, don't 4 Q. 5 you? 6 A. Yes. 7 Do you ever hear your husband bragging Q. about a safe that you have in your home? 8 9 A. No. MS. McRAE: I object to --10 11 MR. DRESCHER: She answered no. 12 THE WITNESS: They want to know 13 about the gold bars. That's my next question. Do you have 14 0. 15 any gold bars in you possession? 16 A. No. But your PIs sure do think I do. 17 They've been asking all my clients about that. 18 Have they? Q. 19 A. Yes. And my friends and my family. 20 Have they been asking about ivory? 0. 21 A. No. I haven't heard about that yet. I guess that's what they're doing now. 22 23 Do you have any ivory? Q. A. Yes. 24 You do. Where did you get it? 25 Q.

| 1  | A. Bought it.                                       |
|----|---|
| 2  | Q. Have you bought it since you left the            |
| 3  | church?   |
| 4  | A. Yes.   |
| 5  | MS. McRAE: I'm going to object to                   |
| 6  | any of this as                                      |
| 7  | MR. BERRY: This has absolutely                      |
| 8  | nothing to do with this litigation?                 |
| 9  | THE WITNESS: It has to do with my                   |
| 10 | case.   |
| 11 | MR. DRESCHER: State your objection.                 |
| 12 | MS. McRAE: I object to discovery in                 |
| 13 | the Aznaran case not noticed here today, and you're |
| 14 | abusing the discovery process once again, and we're |
| 15 | not going to answer any more questions that have    |
| 16 | that they're nothing to do with the case, that      |
| 17 | are only for purposes of discovery in Vicki's case. |
| 18 | MR. DRESCHER: I didn't have any                     |
| 19 | more questions on it, anyway. That was the end of   |
| 20 | it. We've already had the stipulations.             |
| 21 | (End of proceedings.)                               |
| 22 |   |
| 23 |   |
| 24 |   |
| 25 | $t_t$   |

### DECLARATION UNDER PENALTY OF PERJURY

I hereby declare under penalty of perjury that the foregoing is my deposition under oath; are the questions asked of me and my answers thereto; that I have read same and have made the corrections, additions or deletions to my answers that I deem necessary.

In witness thereof, I hereby subscribe my name this \_\_\_\_\_, 1992.

VICKI AZNARAN

| 1  | STATE OF TEXAS )   |  |  |  |  |
|----|--|--|--|--|--|
| 2  | COUNTY OF DALLAS )                                       |  |  |  |  |
| 3  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 4  | I, Tierney Burgett, Certified Shorthand                  |  |  |  |  |
| 5  | Reporter, in and for the State of Texas, certify         |  |  |  |  |
| 6  | that the foregoing deposition of VICKI AZNARAN           |  |  |  |  |
| 7  | was reported stenographically by me at the time and      |  |  |  |  |
| 8  | place indicated, said witness having been placed         |  |  |  |  |
| 9  | under oath by me, and that the deposition is a           |  |  |  |  |
| 10 | true record of the testimony given by the                |  |  |  |  |
| 11 | witness.   |  |  |  |  |
| 12 | I further certify that I am neither counsel              |  |  |  |  |
| 13 | for nor related to any party in this cause and am        |  |  |  |  |
| 14 | not financially interested in its outcome.               |  |  |  |  |
| 15 | Given under my hand on this the 16th day of              |  |  |  |  |
| 16 | April, 1992.   |  |  |  |  |
| 17 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 18 | Huney Burget   |  |  |  |  |
| 19 | Tierney Burgett, Certifièd<br>Shorthand Reporter No. 588 |  |  |  |  |
| 20 | in and for the State of Texas<br>Stanley, Harris, Rice & |  |  |  |  |
| 21 | Associates 3100 McKinnon, Suite 1000                     |  |  |  |  |
| 22 | Dallas, Texas 75201<br>(214) 720-4567                    |  |  |  |  |
| 23 | My commission expires 12-31-92                           |  |  |  |  |
| 24 | Original deposition sent to Karen McKrae on              |  |  |  |  |
|    | A 16 02  |  |  |  |  |

j :

FORD GREENE 711 Sir Francis Drake Blvd. San Anselmo, California 94960-1949 (415) 258-0360 Telephone:

Attorney for Plaintiffs VICKI J. AZNARAN and RICHARD N. AZNARAN

CLERK, U.S. DIRTELE COLET CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA DEFUTY

UNITED STATES DISTRICT-COURT

CENTRAL DISTRICT, STATE OF CALIFORNY

VICKI J. AZNARAN and RICHARD N. AZNARAN

Plaintiffs,

VS.

CHURCH OF SCIENTOLOGY OF CALIFORNIA, INC.; CHURCH OF SPIRITUAL TECHNOLOGY, INC.; SCIENTOLOGY MISSIONS INTERNATIONAL, \* INC.; RELIGIOUS TECHNOLOGY CENTER, \* INC.; AUTHOR SERVICES, INC.; CHURCH OF SCIENTOLOGY INTERNATION-AL, INC.; CHURCH OF SCIENTOLOGY OF \* LOS ANGELES, INC.; MISSION OFFICE WORLDWIDE; AUTHOR FAMILY TRUST; THE ESTATE OF L. RON HUBBARD; DAVID MISCAVIGE; and NORMAN STARKEY

Defendants.

CASE NO. CV88-1786-WDK

SUBSTITUTION OF ATTORNEY and Custi



I, VICKI J. AZNARAN, hereby substitute, in PRO PER, 703 McKinney Avenue, Suite 309, Dallas, Texas 75206, (214) 720-1414, in place and stead of FORD GREENE, 7113 Sir Francis Drake Date, San Anselmo, California 94960-1949.

DATED: 6-11-91

I hereby consent to the foregoing designation.

L2547/LIT44:357

1 2 3

4

5

7

8

10

11

12

13 14

15

16 17

1.

18 19

20

21

22 23

24

26 27

25

28

11

JOSEPH A. YANNY, ESQ. - Bar No. 97979 LAW OFFICES OF JOSEPH A. YANNY 1925 Century Park East, Suite 1260 Los Angeles, California 90067 (213) 551-2966

Attorneys for Plaintiffs

# UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

VICKI J. AZNARAN, and RICHARD N. AZNARAN,

Plaintiffs,

VB.

CHURCH OF SCIENTOLOGY OF CALIFORNIA, ET AL.

Defendants.

AND RELATED COUNTERCLAIMS

CV 88-1786 JMI (Ex)

DECLARATION OF VICKI J. AZNARAN

- I, Vicki J. Aznaran, declare and state:
- 1. I am a Plaintiff in the above-entitled action.
- 2. This declaration is being made in support of Plaintiffs' Ex Parte Application for an Order Continuing the Hearing date on Defendant's Motion for Summary Judgement. The matters stated herein are of my own personal knowledge, and if called, I could testify to them under oath.
- 3. Within the last two weeks, I have had a series of conversations with Barry Van Sickle concerning one John J. Quinn who is an attormney for Defendant Scientology.

DEPOSITION EXHIBIT

2

V. Games

- 5 6 7 8 8
- 12 13
- 14 15
- 16

17

20

21

19

22

23

24 25

26

27 28

- Mr. Van Sickle called me and initially indicated that Scientology, through Mr. Quinn, wanted to settle, or at least enter into negotiations to resolve the above-entitled action against Scientology.
- 5. Mr. Van Sickle indicated that Quinn stated the only requirement for settlement talks was they did not want to negotiate or deal with the my then-attorney, Ford Greene.
- Mr. Van Sickle indicated that Quinn had stated he would, negotiate with Barry if he was in a position to negotiate a settlement for the Aznarans.
- 7. Mr. Van Sickle had previously been my lawyer in this action.
- Subsequent to my conversation with Mr. Van Sickle, Mr. Greene was released from his present duty to represent me and I authorized Mr. Van Sickle to negotiate for me with Scientology.
- I am informed that Mr. Van Sickle delivered my requset for further negotiations to Quinn.
- 10. Mr. Van Sickle indicated that Quinn said Scientology had changed its mind, that they did not care to enter into any negotiations
- 11. Within days of my release of Mr. Greene as counsel, and Scientology's withdrawn offer, the Scientology Defendants filed a Motion for Summary Judgment, scheduled to come on for hearing in this court on July 22, 1991.
- 12. Joseph A. Yanny has agreed to come into the action and to represent me.

L2547/LIT44:357

2

4 5

7 8

9

11

12 13

14

1516

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

2526

27

28

13. Mr. Greene has all the case files in his possession and is supposed to have them delivered to Mr. Yanny.

I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the United States that the foregoing is true and correct and based upon my personal knowledge and, if called as a witness, will testify to the same/

Executed this \_\_\_\_ day of July, 1991, at Dallas, Texas.

Vicki J. Azharan

6

7

8

9

10

13

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

24

HUB LAW OFFICES Ford Greene, Esquire California Bar No. 107601 711 Sir Francis Drake Boulevard San Anselmo, California 94960-1949 Telephone: (415) 258-0360

Attorney for Plaintiffs VICKI J. AZNARAN and RICHARD N. AZNARAN



# UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

AZNARAN, Plaintiffs, VS. CHURCH OF SCIENTOLOGY OF CALIFORNIA, et al.,

Defendants.

VICKI J. AZNARAN and RICHARD N.

DECLARATION OF VICKI J. AZNARAN REGARDING ASSOCIATION OF JOHN CLIFTON ELSTEAD AS TRIAL COUNSEL

No. CV-88-1786-JMI(Ex)

AND RELATED COUNTER CLAIM

#### VICKI J. AZNARAN declares;

- I am one of the plaintiffs in the above-captioned case.
- It is my desire that John Clifton Elstead be associated as 2. trial counsel on my behalf in this case.
- I do not seek Mr. Elstead's association for the purpose of delaying the trial date set for October 15, 1991. The reason I desire the association is because I believe that Mr. Elstead has the skill, resources, support and ability to properly present my case.

- ability to handle and maintain the trial of my case that I replaced him with myself, in pro per, and then substituted in Joseph Yanny. Now, that experienced trial counsel has been retained, I do not foresee any further changes in my representation.
- 5. I am in agreement with my husband and co-plaintiff, Richard N. Aznaran, Mr. Elstead and Mr. Greene that this arrangement will best serve my purpose of prosecuting my causes of action against the defendants and preserve the trial schedule of the Court.
- 6. Due to the recent instability of my representation, I want by this declaration to assure the Court of my intentions even though it my understanding that Court approval is not required regarding the association of counsel.

111

111

111

111

111

111

. I make the above statements upon personal knowledge, except where any statement is made upon information and belief, and could competently testify as to the matters stated herein if called upon to do so.

I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the United States of America that the foregoing is true and correct.

Executed this Thirty-First day of July, 1991, at Dallas, Tx.

Cicki M. Aznaran

|  | UNITED STATES I | DISTRICT COURT                             |
|--|-----------------|--|
| VICKI J. AZNARAN<br>RICHARD N. AZNARAN           | PLAINTIFF.      | CASE NUMBER  CV 88-1786 JMI (Ex)           |
| VS.  CHURCH OF SCIENTOLOGY OF CALIFORNIA, ET AL. | DEFENDANT.      | SUBSTITUTION OF ATTORNEY                   |
| ·  |                 |  |
| VICKI J. AZNARAN<br>NAME OF PARTY                |                 | 1 X PLAINTIFF ( ) DEFENDAN                 |
| HEREBY SUBSTITUTESJOSEPH A                       | YANNY LAF       | OFFICES OF JOSEPH A. YANNY WHO I           |
| (x) RETAINED COUNSEL ( ) COU                     |                 |  |
|  |                 | 1260, Los Angeles, CA 90067                |
| TELEPHONE (213 ) 551-2966                        |                 |  |
| STATE BAR NUMBER 97979                           |                 | AS ATTORNEY OF RECORD IN PLACE AND STEA    |
|  | Vick:           | Aznavan                                    |
| PRESENT ATTORNEY  DATED 16-27-9/                 | 51 GNATURE      | OF PARTY DAME                              |
|  | RSUANT TO LOCAL | . RULE 2.8 AND FURTHER CONSENT TO THE ABOV |
| SUBSTITUTION. $( -77-9 )$                        | V/V             | This Amain                                 |
| DATED - Co - Q 7                                 | SIGNATURE       | OF PRESENT ATTORNEY                        |
| I AM DULY ADMITTED/TO PRACTICE DATED 287 6 9     | IN THIS DISTRA  | State -                                    |
| APPROVED UNITED STATES DISTRIC                   | T JUDGE         | DEPOSITION EXHIBIT                         |
|  |                 | FAHIBIT V. Armainan                        |

## VERIFICATION

|   | STATE OF CALIFORNIA, COUNTY OF  I have read the foregoing   |
|---|---|
|   | and know its contents.  CHECK APPLICABLE PARAGRAPH  I am a party to this action. The matters stated in the foregoing document are true of my own knowledge except as to those matters which are stated on information and belief, and as to those matters I believe them to be true.  I am  an Officer  a partner  of   |
|   | a party to this action, and am authorized to make this verification for and on its behalf, and I make this verification for that reason.   I am informed and believe and on that ground allege that the matters stated in the foregoing document are true.   The matters stated in the foregoing document are true of my own knowledge except as to those matters which are stated on information and belief, and as to those matters I believe them to be true.  I am one of the attorneys for   |
|   | the matters stated in the foregoing document are true.  |
|   | Executed on, 19, at, California.  |
|   | I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California that the foregoing is true and correct.  |
|   | Type or Print Name  Signature   |
|   | PROOF OF SERVICE  1814 (1) CCF Review 1/1/88  |
|   | STATE OF CALIFORNIA, COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES  I am employed in the county of LOS ANGELES  I am over the age of 18 and not a party to the within action; my business address is: 1925 CENTURY  PARIC. EAST #1260 LOS ANGELES CA POOLY  On JUNE 28, 1971, I served the foregoing document described as  SUBSTITUTION, OF ATTORNEY  ON INTERESTED PARTIES in this action   |
| M | by placing the true copies thereof enclosed in sealed envelopes addressed as stated on the attached mailing list: by placing the original a true copy thereof enclosed in sealed envelopes addressed as follows:  |
|   |   |
| Ø | BY MAIL  If deposited such envelope in the mail at Los ANGELES, California.  The envelope was mailed with postage thereon fully prepaid.  |
|   | Under that practice it would be deposited with U.S. postal service on that same day with postage thereon fully prepaid at  California in the ordinary course of business. I am aware that on motion of the party served, service is presumed invalid if postal cancellation date or postage meter date is more than one day after date of   |
|   | Executed on   |
|   | **(BY PERSONAL SERVICE) I delivered such envelope by hand to the offices of the addressee.  |
|   | Executed on   |
|   | (State) I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California that the above is true and correct.  (Federal) I declare that I am employed in the office of a member of the bar of this court at whose direction the service was  |
|   | made.   |
|   | JOHN KIRESKO  |
|   | Type or Print Name  Stumes tisecon a wateria winds on an  'is must scourage with a common investigation of the common and a common and |

William T. Dreacher 23679 Calabasan Road Suite 338 Calabasas, California 91302 (818) 591-0039

Attorney for Dofendant RELIGIOUS TECHNOLOGY CENTER CHURCH OF SPIRITUAL TECHNOLOGY

Earle C. Cooley
COOLEY, MANION, MOORE &
JONES, P.C.
21 Custom House Street
Boston, Massachusetts 02110
(617) 542-3700

Attorneys for Defendants CHURCH OF SPIRITUAL TECHNOLOGY, RELIGIOUS TECHNOLOGY CENTER

Eric Lieborman
RABINOWITZ, BOUDIN, STANDARD,
KRINSKY & LIEBERMAN, P.C.
740 Broadway at Astor Place
New York, New York 10003-9518
(212) 254-1111

Attorneys for Defendant CHURCH OF SCIENTOLOGY INTERNATIONAL

James H. Berry, Jr.
BERRY & CAHALAN
2049 Century Park East Suite 2750
Los Angeles, CA 90067
(213) 28402126

John J. Quinn
QUINN, KULLY & MORROW
520 S. Grand Ave. 8th Floor
Los Angeles, CA 90071
(213) 622-0300

Attorneys for Defendant CHURCH OF SCIENTOLOGY INTERNATIONAL

Michael Hertzberg 740 Broadway, Fifth Floor New York, New York 10003 (212) 982-9870

Attorneys for Defendant AUTHOR SERVICES, INC.

Rendrick L. Moxon |
BOWLES & MOXON |
6255 Sunset Blvd. |
Suite 2000 |
Los Angeles, CA 90028 |
(213) 661-4030

Attorneys for Defendants
CHURCH OF SPIRITUAL;
TECHNOLOGY
CHURCH OF SCIENTOLOGY
INTERNATIONAL